

PROGRAM STATEMENT

OPI PRD/EEO NUMBER 3713.31

DATE April 22, 2024

Discrimination and Retaliation Complaints Processing

/s/

Approved: Collette S. Peters
Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

To establish procedures in the Federal Bureau of Prisons (Bureau) for processing complaints of discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, physical or mental disability, age, retaliation, sexual orientation, gender identity, parental status, the Pregnancy Discrimination Act, Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008, the U.S. Department of Justice Equal Employment Opportunity Policy, and violations of the Equal Pay Act of 1963 (Equal Pay Act). To establish compliance procedures within the Bureau for complaints filed under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The Bureau is committed to assuring questions or complaints of discrimination, and all forms of unlawful harassment are promptly and thoroughly investigated and resolved without reprisal or threat of reprisal.

This Program Statement only addresses the processing of Discrimination and Retaliation complaints. Refer to the Program Statement **Bureau of Prisons Anti-Discrimination Policy**, for the bases of discrimination.

a. Summary of Changes

Policy Rescinded

3713.24 Discrimination and Retaliation Complaints Processing (6/16/2014)

■ All allegations of sexual harassment depending on the severity of the allegation will be reported in accordance with the Program Statement **Standards of Employee Conduct**.

- The aggrieved individual's representative will be allowed to participate in the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process, subject to the guidelines established in the mediation session.
- Where the Administrative Judge or Office of Federal Operations (OFO) finds the Bureau has improperly processed the original complaint and that such improper processing has had a material effect on the processing of the original complaint, the Administrative Judge or OFO may impose sanctions on the Bureau as deemed appropriate.
- Contracted Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) investigative firms notify the complainant if the assigned investigator is a former Bureau employee, prior to interviews and/or interrogatories.
- Once a document is included in the complaint file, the complainant has a right to the entire file
- The Bureau's EEO Director (Assistant Director, Program Review Division) will report directly to the Director, Bureau of Prisons, for all matters related to EEO.
- The Bureau may use its own employees as mediators in the EEO ADR program.
- The Bureau will bear all reasonable travel and per diem expenses of the aggrieved party.
- Changed the term 'staff' to the term 'employee' throughout this Program Statement.

b. **Program Objectives.** The expected results of this program are:

- Employees alleging discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex (including sexual harassment and pregnancy) national origin, physical or mental disability, age, sexual orientation, parental status, genetic information, gender identity, or retaliation will have access to the government's complaint resolution process. For more information regarding the standards of proof for allegations of discrimination, see Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) Equal Employment Opportunity Management Directive EEO-MD-110 (EEOC Management Directive 110).
- Contract employees may not file complaints using the procedures outlined in this Program Statement. However, employees may file complaints under this Program Statement alleging discriminatory actions against contract employees.
- Employees alleging discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity or parental status will have access to the complaint resolution process of the U.S. Department of Justice, including counseling, ADR, investigation, and a final agency decision from the Complaint Adjudication Officer (CAO), U.S. Department of Justice. In certain cases, employees may also direct complaints to the Office of Special Counsel, as prohibited personnel practices, and to the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB).
- Time frames established for each step in the complaint resolution process will be met. www.eeoc.gov/federal/fed employees/complaint overview.cfm
- Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) will promote culturally diverse work environments free of discrimination, based on race, color, religion, sex (including sexual harassment and pregnancy), national origin, physical or mental disability, age, sexual orientation, parental status,

- genetic information, gender identity, or retaliation, and all forms of unlawful harassment.
- Notification of this Program Statement will be given to each employee through bulletin board postings and on the Bureau's intranet.
- c. **Institution Supplement**. None required. Should local facilities make any changes outside changes required in national policy or establish any additional local procedures to implement national policy, the local Union may invoke to negotiate procedures or appropriate arrangements.

2. ACTION REQUIRED

Each CEO will ensure a culturally diverse work environment free of discrimination, based on race, color, religion, sex (including sexual harassment and pregnancy), national origin, physical or mental disability, age, sexual orientation, parental status, genetic information, gender identity, or retaliation, and all forms of unlawful harassment.

- a. Each CEO is responsible for maintaining official bulletin boards in locations easily accessible to all Bureau employees, including employees who work in offices separate from the parent facility, such as Residential Reentry Management offices, contract facilities (where there are Bureau employees), etc., notifying them of the following information:
- The names, titles, telephone numbers, and locations of the EEO Counselors (this information must also be available on each institution's intranet page).
- Procedures for filing an individual or class complaint of employment discrimination, which includes posting on bulletin boards time frames relevant to both the agency and the employee as outlined in the BP-A1059, Notice of Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Timelines.
- Procedures for filing a complaint of discrimination on the basis of disability in programs or activities conducted by the Department of Justice.
- b. Each CEO will provide sufficient resources and support to implement the EEO complaints program as specified in this Program Statement, including:
- Engaging in the Interactive process.
- Making reasonable accommodations for the known disabilities and religious needs of applicants and employees.
- Ensuring no person is retaliated against or harassed because of participation in the EEO complaints process or because of opposition to a policy or practice which the person believes is discriminatory.

■ Ensuring all forms of discrimination are prohibited.

- c. The Bureau will ensure the implementation of the Program Statement **Bureau of Prisons Anti-Harassment Policy**. Pursuant to this policy, allegations of harassment, including, but not limited to, sexual harassment will be reviewed for possible referral to the Office of Internal Affairs.
- d. The Bureau's Anti-Harassment policy assures protection for employees who make claims of harassing conduct. All supervisors and management officials are responsible for prevention retaliation, discrimination, and harassment in the workplace from all employees, non-BOP staff, and inmates.
- e. The Bureau will ensure the EEO process is covered during Annual Training.

3. **DISTRIBUTION**

All Bureau CEOs will ensure each current employee and new employee in their organizational units have access to this Program Statement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter 1. Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Counseling Program | |
|---|----|
| EEO Counselors | 8 |
| Responsibilities | 8 |
| Chapter 2. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) | |
| General Information About ADR | 11 |
| Training and Evaluation | 11 |
| The Bureau's ADR Program | 11 |
| Representation of the Parties | 13 |
| Mediators (Neutrals) | 13 |
| Resolutions Must be in Writing | 14 |
| Mediation in Lieu of Counseling | |
| Mediation in the Formal Stage | 16 |
| The EEO Office's Role | 16 |
| Chapter 3. Individual Complaints Processing | |
| Filing and Presentation of an Individual Complaint | 17 |
| Settlement of Complaints | 21 |
| Offer of Resolution | 23 |
| Union Role in the EEO Process | 24 |
| Injuries That May Be Attributed to Allegations of Discrimination | 24 |
| EEO Director - Independent Authority and Reporting Relationship | 25 |
| Chapter 4. Dismissal of Complaints | |
| Bases for Dismissals That May Exist as of the Filing of the Complaint | |
| or Develop Thereafter | 26 |
| Dismissals That Generally Occur After the Agency Accepts the Complaint | |
| Based on Complainant's Actions or Inactions | 29 |
| Processing of Partially Dismissed Complaints | 30 |
| Allegations of Dissatisfaction Regarding Processing of Pending Complaints | 31 |
| Chapter 5. Investigation of Complaints | |
| Role of the EEO Officer in Investigations | 32 |
| EEO Investigator | 32 |
| Complainant | 33 |
| Investigation | 33 |
| Complainants' Opportunity to Review the Investigative File | 35 |
| Chapter 6. Right to Hearing or Final Agency Decision | |
| Right to Hearing | 38 |
| No Hearing Requested; Final Agency Decision | 38 |
| Parental Status Complaints | 38 |
| Hearing | 38 |

3713.31 4/22/2024

| Final Action by Agency | 40 |
|--|----|
| Chapter 7. Appeals to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission | |
| Persons Who May Appeal | 43 |
| Time Limits for Appeals to the Commission – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.402 | 47 |
| Chapter 8. Right to File Civil Action | |
| Civil Action | 50 |
| The Equal Pay Act – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.408 | 50 |
| Termination of EEO Processing | 50 |
| Mixed Case Complaints | 50 |
| Notice of Complainant's Right to Request Court Appointment of Counsel and Statement of Right to Appeal | 51 |
| Chapter 9. Procedures for Related Processes | |
| Mixed Case Complaints and Appeals – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.302 | 52 |
| Age Discrimination Complaints | |
| Equal Pay Act Complaints | 59 |
| Negotiated Grievance Procedures | 59 |
| Chapter 10. Complaints of Class Discrimination | |
| Introduction | 61 |
| Pre-Certification Procedures | 61 |
| Certification or Dismissal – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d) | 63 |
| Certification Decision – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)(7) | 64 |
| Notification – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(e) | 66 |
| Obtaining the Evidence – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(f) | 67 |
| Resolution – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(g) | 68 |
| Hearing – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(h) and (i) | 69 |
| Administrative Judge's Final Decision | 70 |
| Agency Decision – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(j) and (k) | 70 |
| Relief for Individual Class Members – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(l) | 71 |
| Reprisal | 74 |
| Chapter 11. Official Time in the Equal Employment Opportunity Process and | |
| Representation | |
| Disclosure of Investigative Material to Witnesses | |
| Travel Expenses | 75 |
| Official Time for Witnesses and Representatives | 76 |
| Reasonable Amount of Official Time | 76 |
| Meeting and Hearing Time | 76 |
| Preparation Time | 77 |
| Aggregate Time Spent on EEO Matters | 77 |
| Requesting Official Time | 78 |
| Denial of Official Time | |

| Duty Status/ | Tour of Duty | 78 |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Use of Gove | rnment Property | 79 |
| Chapter 12. Pr | ocessing Disability Complaints Involving Programs or A | ctivities Conducted |
| by the Departm | ent of Justice: Inmate Discrimination Complaints Cove | red by Section 504 |
| of the Rehabilit | ration Act of 1973, as amended | 80 |
| Chapter 13. Self-Assessments | | 82 |
| | | |
| ATTACHMEN | TS | |
| Attachment A. | Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Counselors Checl | klist |
| Attachment B. | Mediation (Frequently Asked Questions) | |

Chapter 1. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COUNSELING PROGRAM

1. EEO COUNSELORS

Employees will have access to an EEO counselor assigned to their facility. These counselors will make efforts to resolve discrimination complaints during the informal, pre-complaint stage.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES

- a. The Bureau's Central Office EEO Office (EEO Office) is responsible for:
- Providing input into the selection of EEO Counselors.
- Informing EEO Counselors of policy changes.
- Providing technical assistance to EEO Counselors.
- Ensuring all EEO Counselors receive the mandatory training set forth in EEOC Management Directive 110.
- Providing guidance to employees regarding the EEO process.

b. The **CEO** is responsible for:

- Providing an office for EEO counseling that is in a neutral location and can ensure confidentiality and anonymity for employees.
- In accordance with all applicable federal laws, maintaining official bulletin boards and intranet sites in locations easily accessible to all employees, including employees who work in offices, contract facilities, etc., notifying them of the following information: names, titles, telephone numbers, and locations of EEO counselors and procedures for filing an individual or class complaint of employment discrimination.
- Making available to the EEO Counselor all documents and information necessary for informal resolution of the complaint. These documents include official personnel files, promotion board packages, all performance records, lieutenants' logs, and any other material relevant to the complaint. In their official positions as EEO Counselors, they will have routine access to these records and thereafter, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(1), such access does not constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

c. **EEO Counselors** are responsible for:

- Serving as a liaison between management and employees to attempt to resolve problems informally.
- Submitting their pre-complaint counseling reports to the EEO Officer (Chief, Conflict Resolution, Equal Employment Opportunity, and Diversity Management [CREED]), via email, and aggrieved person(s) via email within five days of the conclusion of counseling.

- Issuing the Notice of Right to File a Discrimination Complaint (Notice of Right to File) to the complainant at the conclusion of counseling unless the matter has been informally resolved. When counseling is performed telephonically, the EEO Counselor will send the Notice of Right to File, and all other appropriate notices and forms, to the complainant via certified mail, return receipt requested. If the aggrieved party is a Bureau employee, they may agree to receive the Notice of Right to File via their Bureau email address. In that case, the 15 days will commence when the aggrieved party opens their email. If the aggrieved party does not open their email within a reasonable time, the EEO Counselor will send the Notice of Right to File via certified mail, return receipt requested; in those situations, the 15 days will commence when the aggrieved party opens their email or receives the certified mail, whichever is earlier.
- Interviewing aggrieved persons who come to the EEO Counselor with problems, as well as others who are involved in the inquiry, and maintaining an impartial and objective attitude toward the matters under discussion.
- Explaining to all involved in the inquiry the EEO Counselor's role and the purpose of the inquiry.
- Making every possible effort to resolve complaints informally by conducting a thorough inquiry into the matters brought to them and trying to resolve issues in the complaint with the aggrieved person and management.
- Maintaining the confidentiality of privileged information from personnel or other employees' records. If the individual wants to remain anonymous during the counseling stage, the EEO Counselor will not release the name of the person seeking assistance nor any information that will disclose that person's identity. The EEO Counselor is under obligation, however, to report employee activities that are considered a serious and imminent threat to life or may result in bodily harm or are in violation of the law. All allegations of harassment, including sexual harassment, will be reported in accordance with Program Statement Standards of Employee Conduct, depending on the severity of the allegations. The EEO Counselor should consult with the EEO Officer if in doubt as to what needs to be reported.
- Explaining to the aggrieved person each of the rights and responsibilities in the EEO process, as outlined in the BP-A1060, Notice of Rights and Responsibilities EEO Process, which includes the option to ADR. The EEO Counselor must perform several tasks in all cases as outlined in the Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Counselors Checklist (Attachment A).
- Explaining to the aggrieved person the Bureau's ADR program and the differences between ADR and traditional counseling.
- Determining the claim(s) and basis(es) raised by the potential complaint and assisting the aggrieved person in articulating the claim so as to avoid fragmenting the claim.
- Conducting an inquiry during the initial interview with the aggrieved person for the purpose of determining jurisdictional questions if a formal complaint is filed.
- Seeking a resolution of the dispute at the lowest possible level unless the aggrieved person elects to participate in the Bureau's ADR program.

- Advising the aggrieved person of the entitlement to official time under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605.
- d. The Bureau's Anti-Harassment policy assures protection for employees who make claims of harassing conduct. All supervisors and management officials are responsible for prevention retaliation, discrimination, and harassment in the workplace from all employees, non-BOP staff, and inmates.

Chapter 2. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

1. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT ADR

The EEOC's revised regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.102(b)(2) require agencies to establish or make available an ADR program. The ADR program is available during both the pre-complaint and formal complaint processes. The ADR program does not diminish an individual's right to pursue their civil claim under 29 C.F.R. § 1614 should ADR not resolve the dispute.

2. TRAINING AND EVALUATION

The Bureau must provide appropriate training and education on ADR to its employees, managers and supervisors, neutrals, and other persons protected under the applicable laws.

The EEO Officer will continuously evaluate the ADR program to ensure the core principles of fairness, flexibility, training, and evaluation, as outlined in EEOC Management Directive 110, are being utilized. The EEO Officer should continuously evaluate the ADR program and make changes. Changes in working conditions which impact the bargaining unit will require notice and opportunity to bargain with the Union prior to implementation in accordance with the Master Agreement.

3. THE BUREAU'S ADR PROGRAM

The Bureau has elected to use mediation as its method of ADR in the EEO process.

Mediation is the intervention in a dispute or negotiation of an acceptable, impartial, and neutral third party, who has no decision-making authority. The objective of this intervention is to assist the parties to voluntarily reach an acceptable resolution of the issues in dispute.

The mediator has no authority to render decisions. They are a facilitator who is skilled at working with the parties to resolve their dispute. The mediator is not an arbitrator or judge. A mediator primarily makes procedural suggestions regarding how parties can reach an agreement. Occasionally, a mediator may suggest some substantive options as a means of encouraging the parties to expand the range of possible resolutions under consideration. A mediator often works with the parties individually, in caucuses, to explore acceptable resolution options or to develop proposals that might move the parties closer to resolution.

Mediators differ in their degree of directiveness or control in their assistance in disputing parties. Some mediators set the stage for bargaining, make minimal procedural suggestions, and intervene in the negotiations only to avoid or overcome a deadlock. Other mediators are much

more involved in forging the details of a resolution. Regardless of how directive the mediator is, the mediator performs the role of a catalyst enabling the parties to initiate progress toward their own resolution of issues in dispute. The parties dictate the outcome of the mediation, not the mediator. The mediator will draft any agreements reached by the parties.

The format of the mediation session is as follows. Typically, the mediator first meets with both parties together in a joint session. At the joint session, the mediator will initially ask the aggrieved party to briefly describe the complaint, then will ask the aggrieved party's representative the same question. The mediator will then ask the manager involved their side of the situation. The mediator may ask each party what they are seeking to accomplish in the mediation; i.e., what it will take to resolve the dispute. At the conclusion of the joint session, the mediator will typically meet with each party separately in what is called a private session. These sessions will allow the mediator to ask more direct questions of the parties without putting the other party on the spot. At the conclusion of the separate sessions, it is up to the mediator whether they will convene additional separate sessions or whether they will bring the parties back together for another joint session.

Mediation sessions are confidential. At the beginning of the mediation, all parties in the room will be required to sign an Agreement to Mediate, which states everything discussed in the room is confidential. At the conclusion of each separate session, the mediator will also ask each party what they do not want them to share with the other party. The mediator is bound by these requests of confidentiality. The Agreement to Mediate also states that neither party can subpoena or depose the mediator in the event of future litigation.

The parties will come fully prepared to discuss the facts, in good faith, that led to the dispute. Documentation is not necessary. Parties will have the opportunity during breaks to obtain whatever documentation they feel the other side should read. The parties should also be able to discuss what they believe will resolve the issue. Typically, the mediator will have no knowledge of the facts prior to the mediation. The EEO Office is available for any questions concerning the mediation process.

A typical mediation session lasts four to six hours. Unless otherwise agreed to by all parties, the mediation will be conducted in a secure location within the facility or complex where the aggrieved party works. The mediator will contact all parties prior to the session to coordinate a time convenient to all parties. Mediations will be conducted during normal business hours.

If settlement is reached as a result of the mediation, the mediator will draft the settlement agreement. The settlement agreement will be signed by the person with settlement authority and by the aggrieved party and their representative, if the representative attends the mediation session, prior to the end of the mediation session. The mediator will incorporate notice in all

EEO settlement agreements that the settlement will be implemented in accordance with applicable laws (see below for more information about written agreements).

4. REPRESENTATION OF THE PARTIES

Aggrieved individuals have the right to in-person representation during any ADR process. If the aggrieved individual plans to bring a legal representative to the mediation session, they should notify the EEO Office of such intention within five days of the EEO Office's notification that their request for ADR has been approved. The aggrieved individual's representative will be allowed to participate in the ADR process, subject to the guidelines established in the mediation session.

Notification after that time frame may require rescheduling the mediation. The Bureau will typically not bring legal representation unless the aggrieved party plans to bring such representation.

5. MEDIATORS (NEUTRALS)

The Bureau may use mediators, subject to the qualifications as outlined in EEOC Management Directive 110, from the following sources: Bureau employees, other federal agencies (through a federal neutral sharing program or other arrangement), private organizations, private contractors, bar associations, or individual volunteers.

In an ADR proceeding conducted under this Program Statement, the neutral's duty to the parties is to be "neutral, honest, and to act in good faith." The neutral must:

- Ensure ADR proceedings are conducted consistent with EEO laws and 29 C.F.R. § 1614 regulations, including time frames.
- Ensure proceedings are fair, particularly providing the parties the opportunity to be represented by any person of their choosing throughout the proceeding.
- Ensure an agency representative participating in the ADR proceeding has the authority and responsibility to negotiate in good faith and that a person with authority to approve or enter into a settlement agreement is accessible to the agency's representative.
- Ensure enforceability of any agreement between the parties, including preparation of the written settlement agreement if the parties reach resolution and ensuring the agreement includes the signatures of the appropriate agency representative and aggrieved person.
- Ensure confidentiality, including destroying all written notes taken during the ADR proceeding or in preparation for the proceeding.
- Ensure neutrality, including having no conflict of interest with respect to the proceeding (e.g., material or financial interest in the outcome, personal friend or co-worker of a party,

supervisory official over a party) unless such interest is fully disclosed in writing to all parties and all parties agree that the neutral may serve.

The Bureau may use its own employees as mediators in the EEO ADR program. Should the mediator selected not be suitable for one of the parties, said party should contact the EEO Office to request the selection of another mediator.

6. RESOLUTIONS MUST BE IN WRITING

If the Bureau and the aggrieved party agree to a resolution of the matter, the terms of the resolution must be reduced to writing and signed by both parties in order that the Bureau and the aggrieved party have the same understanding of the terms of the resolution. The written agreement must clearly state the terms of the resolution and contain the procedures for addressing noncompliance under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504.

Written agreements must comply with EEOC's Enforcement Guidance on Non-Waivable Employee Rights Under EEOC-Enforced Statutes. Additionally, any written agreement settling a claim under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended (ADEA), must also, where applicable, comply with the requirements of the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act of 1990, 29 U.S.C. § 626(f) of the ADEA, and EEOC's regulations regarding Waiver of Rights and Claims under 29 C.F.R. § 1625.22 of the ADEA. Neither party must agree a settlement must be confidential.

Once all parties sign the agreement, the signed and dated copy of the resolution must be transmitted to the EEO Office. The EEO Office will retain the copy for one year or until they are certain the agreement has been fully implemented, whichever is later.

7. MEDIATION IN LIEU OF COUNSELING

The EEO Counselor will advise the aggrieved party they may request ADR in lieu of EEO counseling. The EEO Counselor will explain what ADR is and the Bureau's form of ADR in the EEO process is mediation using mediators from outside the Bureau. If the individual is interested in ADR, they must submit a BP-A1061, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Election form to the EEO Counselor.

After the aggrieved party has elected to participate in ADR and within one working day of the election, the EEO Counselor must notify the EEO Officer or his/her designee by emailing (BOP-PRD-EEOOffice-S@bop.gov) or faxing a copy of the signed document indicating the election. The EEO Officer's fax number is (202) 616-2080. The EEO Counselor will also submit a memorandum to the EEO Officer or his/her designee containing the following information:

- Aggrieved person's name, address, and phone number.
- Aggrieved person's title and grade.
- Basis for alleging discrimination.
- A summary of the alleged discriminatory event(s).
- Request for relief, if known.

The EEO Officer, or designee, will determine whether the issue(s) is appropriate for mediation. Mediation will typically not be appropriate if there is an open Office of Internal Affairs (OIA) or criminal investigation, the dispute involves an issue the Bureau has no authority to decide (such as retirement questions, which are up to the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), the issue is part of a class action matter, the employee has abused the mediation process, issues involve alleged Responsible Management Officials (RMOs) who are located at different facilities than the aggrieved, or for such other reasons as the EEO Officer or designee may determine in accordance with EEOC Management Directive 110.

If the EEO Officer, or designee, accepts the request for ADR, they will notify the aggrieved person with a copy to the EEO Counselor within five days of their decision. The EEO Officer, or designee, will also notify the CEO of the need to appoint management representatives to participate in the mediation. The EEO Officer, or designee, will then provide all parties with background information as to what to expect in the mediation process. See Attachment B, Mediation (Frequently Asked Questions).

If mediation is not approved, the EEO Officer, or his/her designee, will notify the aggrieved party, with a copy to the EEO Counselor, to resume traditional EEO counseling. Upon request, the aggrieved party and their representative will be provided a written response with the reason(s) for the denial.

If mediation is conducted in the informal stage of the EEO process, traditional counseling will be terminated and the counseling process will be extended automatically from 30 to 90 days.

The EEO Officer, or designee, will assign a mediator who will contact all parties to arrange a mutually acceptable date. The mediation will take place at the institution/complex at which the aggrieved person is located unless an alternate location is agreed upon by all parties.

If mediation is successful in resolving the issue, the agreement will be reduced to writing and become a valid contract signed by all parties. The EEO process will then be concluded. If mediation is unsuccessful, the EEO Office will notify the EEO Counselor to issue the Notice of Right to File, a formal complaint.

At that point, the EEO Counselor will conduct a final interview, issue the Notice of Right to File,

and prepare a counseling report that describes the initial counseling session, frames the issues, and reports only that ADR was unsuccessful.

8. MEDIATION IN THE FORMAL STAGE

If mediation is desired in the formal stage, the complainant will submit the BP-A1061, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Election form to the EEO Office for review. If ADR is approved, the EEO Investigation will be suspended until conclusion of the mediation process.

Mediation will not affect the complainant's EEO rights, and they will have the ability to continue with the EEO process if the mediation is unsuccessful in reaching a settlement.

9. THE EEO OFFICE'S ROLE

The EEO Office will select a mediator for the parties to use as soon as possible, but no later than 15 calendar days from accepting the request for ADR.

The EEO Office will provide the mediator with the names of all parties who will participate in the mediation session so the mediator can contact the parties. The mediator will contact all parties and select a mutually acceptable date for the mediation. The mediation date should be as soon as possible, but ordinarily no later than 45 calendar days of notification of the mediator. The mediator will notify the EEO Office of the mediation date.

The EEO Office will notify the facility CEO of the date and will ensure adequate space (at least two secure rooms) is reserved for the mediation and entrance into the facility of the mediation is arranged.

The EEO Office will ensure the management representatives have settlement authority for the issue or have immediate access to such individual during the mediation session, whether by phone or in person. The EEO Office will ensure the person who has settlement authority is not a responsible management official or Bureau official who is directly involved in the case.

The EEO Office is responsible for maintaining a list of qualified neutrals who will serve as mediators. They will ensure the neutrals meet the qualifications as set forth in EEOC Management Directive 110. The EEO Office may select neutrals from private contractors, the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, or from another federal agency. Bureau employees will not be employed as neutrals in the EEO ADR program.

Chapter 3. INDIVIDUAL COMPLAINTS PROCESSING¹

1. FILING AND PRESENTATION OF AN INDIVIDUAL COMPLAINT

a. Notification

An applicant or current or former employee (this does not include contract workers) who wishes to file a complaint of discrimination must contact an EEO Counselor within 45 calendar days of the alleged discriminatory event or personnel action, or the time when they knew or should have known of the alleged discriminatory event or personnel action. The first day counted will be the day after the event from which the time period begins to run and the last day of the period will be included, unless it falls on a Saturday, Sunday or federal holiday, in which case the period will be extended to include the next business day. The aggrieved party may utilize email to establish the initial contact.

If an EEO Counselor is not available, the aggrieved individual should contact the local Human Resource Manager, the local CEO or the EEO Office at (202) 514-6165. The EEO Office will assign an EEO Counselor.

Employees may request an alternate EEO Counselor where a conflict of interest exists. Such requests must be made to the Bureau's EEO Office at (202) 514-6165, which retains the right to approve or deny any such request. Denials will be in writing, to include email. Denial of an alternate counselor does not prohibit the employee from pursuing the claim through the existing counselor.

Section 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605(a) provides complainants are entitled to a representative of their choice during all stages of the complaint process. See Chapter 11 of this Program Statement for further guidance.

b. Counseling/Informal Resolution

The EEO Counselor has 30 calendar days from the date of initial contact to counsel the applicant or employee and attempt informal resolution of a complaint of discrimination or retaliation. The EEO Counselor may grant an extension of up to 60 additional days (total of 90 days), with the agreement, in writing, of the aggrieved individual.

The EEO Counselor will also advise the aggrieved person they may request ADR. See Chapter 2

¹ Public Health Service (PHS) Command Officers assigned or detailed to the Bureau may use the Bureau's EEO process for all matters not under control of the Department of Health and Human Services. PHS Command Officers, however, are neither entitled to a hearing before an Administrative Judge of the EEOC, nor are they entitled to seek administrative relief beyond the final decision issued by the Department of Justice.

of this Program Statement for further guidance.

c. Filing of Formal Complaint

It is the complainant's responsibility, not the EEO Counselor's, to file a formal complaint with the appropriate official(s). The EEO Counselor may offer their assistance to the complainant in completing the complaint form. The complainant or their representative must submit the formal complaint in writing, signed by the complainant. A complaint must be filed with the agency that allegedly discriminated against the complainant. To expedite the processing, the complainant should file with:

EEO Officer
Federal Bureau of Prisons 320 First Street NW Room 1038
Washington DC 20534
Fax 202-616-2080
Email BOP-PRD-EEOOffice-S@bop.gov

This does not negate the complainant's rights to file with the Attorney General, the Director, EEO Staff, U.S. Department of Justice, or the Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons.

A document will be deemed timely if it is received by facsimile, (202) 616-2080, email <u>BOP-PRD-EEOOffice-S@bop.gov</u>, or is postmarked before the expiration of the applicable filing period, or, in the absence of a legible postmark, if it is received by mail or facsimile within five days of the expiration of the applicable filing period. The time limits in this part are subject to waiver, estoppel, and equitable tolling.

d. Equal Pay Act Complaints

An individual alleging a violation of the Equal Pay Act may go directly to court or they may pursue their rights through the administrative EEO process. The time limit for filing an Equal Pay Act complaint with the EEOC and the time limit for going to court are the same: within two years of the alleged unlawful compensation practice or, in the case of a willful violation, within three years. The filing of an EEO complaint under the Equal Pay Act does not extend the time frame for going to court.

e. Amendment of Complaint

A complainant may amend a complaint at any time prior to the conclusion of the investigation to include issues or claims like or related to those raised in the complaint. However, once a hearing is requested, a complainant must file a motion with the Administrative Judge to amend a

complaint to include issues or claims like, or related to, those raised in the complaint.

f. Acknowledgment of Complaint

Immediately upon receipt of a complaint of discrimination, or an amendment to a complaint, the EEO Officer or designee will acknowledge receipt of the complaint in writing. The acknowledgment letter will inform the complainant of the date on which the complaint was filed, and the EEOC Office and address where a request for a hearing is sent. Such acknowledgment will also advise the complainant of their rights in the administrative processing of the complaint. For complainants alleging discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, genetic information, or disability, the acknowledgment letter will advise the complainant of the right to file a civil action, including the time limits imposed on the exercise of these rights.

Within a reasonable amount of time after receipt of the EEO Counselor's written report, the EEO Officer or designee will send the complainant a second letter (commonly referred to as an "acceptance letter"), stating the claim(s) asserted and to be investigated. If the claims are not accepted, the complainant will receive a dismissal letter. See Chapter 4 of this Program Statement.

If the acceptance letter's statement of the claim(s) asserted and claim(s) to be investigated differ, the letter will further explain the reasons for the difference, including whether the agency is dismissing a portion of the complaint. The agency will advise the complainant they may submit a statement to the agency concerning the agency's articulation of the claim, which will become a part of the complaint file. The EEO Officer will inform the complainant of the Bureau's obligation to investigate in a timely manner.

g. Time Extensions

The EEO Officer may extend the time limits for filing a formal complaint for good cause shown. Examples of good cause shown includes: the complainant can show they were not notified or otherwise aware of the time limits; was prevented by circumstances beyond their control from submitting the matter within the time limits; or for other reasons the EEO Officer considers sufficient.

h. Fragmentation of Complaints

The fragmentation, or breaking up, of a complainant's EEO claim during processing must be prevented at all levels of the complaint process, including pre-complaint counseling.

Fragmentation often occurs at the point where the Bureau identifies and defines the complainant's claim, most commonly during the counseling and investigative stages. A claim refers to an assertion of an unlawful employment practice or policy for which, if proven, there is a remedy under the federal equal employment statutes. Fragmentation often results from a failure to distinguish between the claim the complainant is raising and the evidence (factual information) they are offering in support of that claim. Fragmentation rarely occurs when the complainant presents a legal claim based on a single incident (such as a particular selection decision or a termination decision) rather than a series of events.

One of the reasons the distinction between legal claims and supporting evidence is important is because complainants frequently raise factual incidents that occur outside of the 45-day time period for contacting an EEO Counselor. In general, for a legal claim to be raised in a timely manner, at least one of the incidents the complainant cites as evidence in support of their claim must have occurred within the 45-day period for contacting an EEO Counselor.

If the claim itself is raised in a timely manner, the agency must consider, at least as background, all relevant evidence offered in support of a timely legal claim, even if the evidence involves incidents that occurred outside the 45-day time limit. This is true of supporting evidence the complainant offered during EEO counseling as well as later in the investigative stage. During the investigation, the degree to which a certain piece of proffered evidence is relevant to the legal claim will determine what sort of investigation is necessary of that particular piece of evidence.

Investigators should not simply disregard relevant information the complainant provided in support of their claim as untimely; nor should they send the complainant back to counseling as if the supporting evidence was a new claim to be processed as a separate complaint.

i. Consolidation of Complaints

A new claim that is not like or related to a previously filed complaint provides the basis for a new and separate complaint. The complainant must present the new, unrelated claim to an EEO Counselor and the new claim is subject to all the regulatory case processing requirements and those set forth in this Program Statement. To address a different fragmentation concern, the Bureau is required to consolidate for joint processing two or more complaints of discrimination filed by the same complainant, after appropriate notification is provided to the parties.

While it is anticipated most consolidated complaints will be investigated together, in certain circumstances, such as significant geographic distance between the sites of two complaints, consolidation does not preclude an agency from investigating each complaint separately. In all instances, however, where an individual requests a hearing, the consolidated complaints should be heard by a single Administrative Judge; or where the complainant requests a final agency

decision, the agency should issue a single decision. The Bureau must consolidate complaints filed by the same complainant before the Bureau issues the notice required at the conclusion of the investigation.

When a complaint has been consolidated with an earlier filed complaint, the Bureau must complete its investigation within the earlier of 180 days after the filing of the last complaint or 360 days after the filing of the original complaint. A complainant has the right to request a hearing, even in the case of consolidated complaints, after 180 days have passed since the filing of the original complaint, even if the Bureau's investigation has not been completed. If not already consolidated, an Administrative Judge or the EEOC may, in their discretion, consolidate two or more complaints of discrimination filed by the same complainant.

j. "Spin-off" Complaints

Complaints about the processing of existing complaints should be referred to the EEO Officer, and/or processed as part of the original complaint.

k. Representation

A complainant is entitled to a representative of their choice at all stages of the processing of a complaint of discrimination, including the counseling stage. In cases where the representation of a complainant or agency would conflict with the official or collateral duties of the representative, the EEOC or the agency may, after giving the representative an opportunity to respond, disqualify the representative.

2. SETTLEMENT OF COMPLAINTS

Settlement of complaints of discrimination can occur at any stage of the process. The complainant(s) proposal for settling their complaint will be presented to the appropriate management official. After the completion of the investigation, the complainant may be provided with an opportunity to discuss the investigative file and possible settlement of the complaint with an appropriate employee in the EEO Office, if settlement is attempted.

Prior to settlement of a complaint, the appropriate management official must notify the Chief, Finance Branch, Administration Division, Central Office, to coordinate funds availability. Proposed settlement language, to include dollar amounts involved, should be forwarded to the Chief, Finance Branch, for review, prior to the settlement offer being provided to the complainant and/or their representative. The Chief, Finance Branch, will not "approve" the decision to settle; rather, the Chief, Finance Branch, will review the procedural aspects of the payments.

If settlement is reached, the terms of the settlement will be in writing. The complainant, their representative, and the appropriate management official(s) will sign the settlement agreement and it will be made part of the complaint file.

Any settlement agreement agreed to after the filing of the formal complaint, including an offer of resolution, must be made in writing, signed by the complainant and their representative and the appropriate management official(s).

The settlement agreement will be binding on both the complainant and the Bureau. If the complainant believes the Bureau has failed to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement, the complainant must notify, in writing, the:

Director, EEO Staff

U.S. Department of Justice Justice Management Division Two Constitution Square 145 N. Street, N.E. Suite 1W.801 Washington DC 20530

Fax: 202-616-4823

of the alleged noncompliance with the settlement agreement, within 30 days of when the complainant knew or should have known of the alleged noncompliance. The complainant may request the terms of the settlement agreement be specifically implemented or, alternatively, that the complaint be reinstated for further processing from the point processing ceased.

The agency will resolve the matter and respond to the complainant in writing. If the agency has not responded to the complainant in writing, or if the complainant is not satisfied with the EEO Officer's attempts to resolve the matter, the complainant may appeal to the:

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Office of Federal OperationsP.O. Box 77960Washington DC 20013

for a determination as to whether the Bureau has complied with the terms of the settlement agreement or decision. The complainant may file such an appeal 35 days after they have served the agency with the allegations of noncompliance but must file an appeal within 30 days of receipt of the Bureau's determination. The complainant must serve a copy of the appeal on the agency to the Director, EEO Staff, Department of Justice, at the address above. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504, 29 C.F.R. § 1614.402, 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403, 29 C.F.R. § 1614.404, and EEOC Management Directive 110 for further information on this process. In accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.503(f), where appropriate the EEOC may refer such non-compliance to the Office of Special Counsel for enforcement action.

3. OFFER OF RESOLUTION

An offer of resolution made pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.109(c) can be made to a complainant who is represented by an attorney at any time after the filing of a formal complaint until 30 days before a hearing. If, however, the complainant is not represented by an attorney, an offer of resolution cannot be made before the case is assigned to an Administrative Judge for a hearing. These time and representation provisions apply only to offers of resolution and do not restrict the parties from discussing settlement or engaging in the ADR process in an effort to resolve an EEO complaint.

Complainants have 30 days from receipt of an offer of resolution to consider the offer and decide whether to accept it. Offers of resolution must be in writing and must explain to the complainant the possible consequences of failing to accept the offer. The agency's offer, to be acceptable, must include attorney's fees and costs, and must specify any non-monetary relief. The agency may offer a lump sum payment that includes all forms of monetary liability, including attorney's fees and costs, or the payment may itemize the amounts and types of monetary relief being offered. Complainant's acceptance of the offer must also be in writing. Upon acceptance, the complaint is settled in full and processing ceases.

If a complainant decides not to accept the offer, the agency takes no immediate action, and the complaint continues to be processed normally.

After the hearing is completed, if the Administrative Judge, or the EEOC on appeal, concludes discrimination has occurred, but provides for less relief than the amount offered by the agency earlier in its offer of resolution, then the agency may use the complainant's decision not to accept its offer of resolution to argue for a reduction in its obligation to pay complainant's attorney's fees. In general, if a complainant fails to accept a properly made offer, and the relief ordered on the complaint is not more favorable than the offer, then the complainant will not receive payment from the agency for attorney's fees or costs incurred after the expiration of the 30-day acceptance period.

It should be noted, however, an exception to this general rule exists where the interests of justice would not be served. An example of an appropriate use of the interest of justice exception is where the complainant received an offer of resolution but was informed by a responsible agency official the agency would not comply in good faith with the offer (e.g., would unreasonably delay implementation of the relief offered). If the complainant did not accept the offer for that reason, and then obtained less relief than was obtained in the offer, it would be unjust to deny attorney's fees and costs.

A complainant's failure to accept an offer of resolution does not preclude the agency from

making other offers of resolution or either party from seeking to negotiate a settlement of the complaint at any time.

4. UNION ROLE IN THE EEO PROCESS

The Alternative Dispute Resolution Program applicable to the EEO process is negotiable at the national level. Other dispute resolution programs dealing with EEO will be negotiable and may be negotiated at level(s) below the National level in accordance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and the Master Agreement.

Should the settlement of an EEO complaint change conditions of employment of any bargaining unit employee, negotiations over the change will comply with law and the Master Agreement as applicable.

Should a designated Union representative serve as an EEO representative of an EEO complainant, they are entitled to official time as governed by 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605(b), and Chapter 11 of this Program Statement.

Bargaining unit members' rights to Union representation under statute and the Master Agreement will be adhered to during the EEO Investigation process.

The Union's role in the EEO process will be recognized in accordance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, relevant case law, and the Master Agreement. Should it be definitively determined these rights include the right to be present at and participate in formal discussions during the EEO process, these rights will be recognized.

5. INJURIES THAT MAY BE ATTRIBUTED TO ALLEGATIONS OF DISCRIMINATION

Any employee who suffers injuries (stress, physical problems, etc.) that may be attributed to allegations of discrimination may be eligible for benefits as listed under the Program Statement **Workers' Compensation Program**. Employees may also be eligible for services described by the Program Statement **Employee Assistance Program**.

6. EEO DIRECTOR – INDEPENDENT AUTHORITY AND REPORTING RELATIONSHIP

In accordance with EEOC Management Directive 110, Chapter 1, agencies must avoid conflicts of position or conflicts of interest as well as the appearance of such conflicts. For example, the same agency official(s) responsible for executing and advising on personnel actions may not also

be responsible for managing, advising, or overseeing the EEO pre-complaint or complaint processes. Those processes often challenge the motivations and impacts of personnel actions and decisions. In order to maintain the integrity of the EEO investigative and decision-making processes, those functions must be kept separate from the personnel function.

Heads of agencies must not permit intrusion on the investigation and deliberations of EEO complaints by agency representatives and offices responsible for defending the agency against EEO complaints. Maintaining distance between the fact finding and defensive functions of the agency enhances the credibility of the EEO Office and the integrity of the EEO complaints process. Legal sufficiency reviews of EEO matters must be handled by a functional unit that is separate and apart from the unit which handles agency representation in EEO complaints. The EEOC requires this separation because impartiality and the appearance of impartiality are important to the credibility of the equal employment program.

Accordingly, the Bureau's EEO Director will report directly to the Director, Bureau of Prisons, for all matters related to EEO.

Chapter 4. DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS

The EEO Officer will recommend to the Director, EEO Staff, U.S. Department of Justice, the dismissal of a complaint of discrimination when it meets the standards set forth below. The Department of Justice's authority to dismiss a complaint ends when a complainant requests a hearing. The Bureau will process dismissals expeditiously.

1. BASES FOR DISMISSALS THAT MAY EXIST AS OF THE FILING OF THE COMPLAINT OR DEVELOP THEREAFTER

a. Untimely Counseling Contact

- A claim that has not been brought to the attention of an EEO Counselor in a timely manner.
- The complainant did not contact an EEO Counselor within 45 days of the alleged discriminatory event or within 45 days of the effective date of the personnel action, and the complainant did not show that the 45-day contact period should be extended pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105(a)(2).

b. Untimely Filing of the Formal Complaint

The complainant failed to file a formal complaint within 15 days of their receipt of the Counselor's Notice Of Right To File in an individual complaint, or in a class complaint. The Bureau has the burden of proving the complainant received the notice and that the notice clearly informed the aggrieved person of the 15-day filing time frame. This time limit is also subject to waiver, estoppel, and equitable tolling under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604(f).

c. Failure to State a Claim

The complainant failed to state a claim under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.103. This may include a claim that does not allege discrimination on a basis encompassed in one of the statutes applicable to federal sector employees. In determining whether a complaint states a claim, the proper inquiry is whether the conduct, if true, would constitute an unlawful employment practice under the EEO statutes.

The Bureau will accept a complaint from any aggrieved employee or applicant for employment who believes they have been discriminated against by the Bureau because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, reprisal, genetic information, gender identity, parental status, or sexual orientation. The EEOC has long defined an "aggrieved employee" as one who suffers a present harm or loss with respect to a term, condition, or privilege of employment for which there is a remedy. The Bureau is required to address EEO complaints only when filed by an

individual who has suffered direct, personal deprivation at the hands of the employer; the agency's act must have caused some concrete effect on the aggrieved person's employment status.

d. Abuse of Process

Title 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(9) is the appropriate provision under which the agency may dismiss a complaint on the extraordinary grounds of abuse of process.

Abuse of process is defined as a clear pattern of misuse of the process for ends other than that which it was designed to accomplish. The EEOC has a strong policy in favor of preserving a complainant's EEO rights whenever possible. The occasions in which application of the standards are appropriate must be rare, because of the strong policy in favor of preserving a complainant's EEO rights whenever possible. Therefore, such dismissals must be taken only in cases where there is a clear misuse or abuse of the administrative process.

In order to determine whether a complaint, or a number of consolidated complaints, should be dismissed for this reason under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(9), the agency or Administrative Judge must strictly apply the criteria established by the EEOC on this issue. This requires an analysis of whether the complainant evidences an ulterior purpose to abuse or misuse the EEO process. Agencies are cautioned that numerous complaint filings alone are not a sufficient basis for determining there has been an abuse of the process.

However, multiple filings on the same issues, lack of specificity in the allegations, and the filing of complaints on allegations previously raised may be considered in deciding whether a complainant has engaged in a pattern of abuse of the EEO process. All pending complaints from a complainant which satisfy these criteria should be consolidated for dismissal under this Section.

Cases in which the EEOC has found an abuse of the EEO process include those where, upon review of the complainant's record, including the number and types of complaints filed, the EEOC has concluded the complainant has pursued a scheme involving the misuse and misapplication of the EEO process for an end other than that which it was designed to accomplish.

The EEOC has stressed in such cases a party cannot be permitted to utilize the EEO process to circumvent other administrative processes; nor can individuals be permitted to overburden the EEO system, which is designed to protect individuals from discriminatory practices. Evidence of numerous complaint filings, in and of itself, is not a sufficient basis for determining there has been an abuse of the process.

e. States the Same Claim

The complaint states the same claim that is pending before or had been decided by the agency or EEOC except in those cases where a class action complaint is pending. The EEOC has interpreted this regulation to require the complaint must set forth the "identical matters" raised in a previous complaint filed by the same complainant in order for the subsequent complaint to be rejected.

f. Complainant Files a Civil Action

The complainant files a civil action concerning the same allegation at least 180 days after they have filed an administrative complaint. The requirement in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.409 that the civil action will be dismissed only if it was filed pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.407 or .408 evidences the intent of the EEOC to restrict the dismissals of EEO complaints for filing a civil action to those civil actions which were brought under the statutes enforced by the EEOC. Where a complainant has not filed a civil action pursuant to the specific statutes listed in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.408, the complaint may not be dismissed pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(3).

g. Issue Has Been Decided

The same issue has been decided by a court of competent jurisdiction and the complainant was a party to the lawsuit. EEOC regulations mandate dismissal of the EEO complaint under these circumstances so as to prevent a complainant from simultaneously pursuing both administrative and judicial remedies on the same matters, wasting resources, and creating the potential for inconsistent or conflicting decisions. The proper inquiry to determine whether dismissal is warranted is whether the issues in the EEO complaint and the civil action are the same, that is, whether the acts of alleged discrimination are identical. The factual allegations and not the bases or the precise relief requested should be the crux of the legal analysis.

h. Allegation Raised in Negotiated Grievance Proceeding

The complainant has raised the allegation in a negotiated grievance procedure that permits allegations of discrimination, indicating an election to pursue a non-EEO process. An election to proceed under 29 C.F.R. § 1614 is indicated by the "filing of a written complaint," while an election to proceed under a negotiated grievance procedure is indicated by the "filing of a timely written grievance." The withdrawal of a grievance does not abrogate its effect for purposes of an election.

i. Appeal Made to MSPB

The complainant has elected to appeal the claim to the MSPB, rather than file a mixed case complaint under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.302.

j. Complaint Alleges a Preliminary Step

The complaint alleges a proposal to take or a preliminary step in taking a personnel action is discriminatory unless the complaint alleges the proposal or step is retaliatory. This provision requires the dismissal of complaints that allege discrimination "in any preliminary steps that do not, without further action, affect the person: for example, progress reviews or improvement periods that are not a part of any official file on the employee." If the individual alleges, however, the preliminary step was part of a pattern of harassing the individual for a prohibited reason, or retaliatory, the complaint cannot be dismissed under this section because of the preliminary step has already affected the employee.

k. Complaint is Moot

A complaint may be dismissed as moot where there is no reasonable expectation the alleged violation will recur, and interim relief or events have completely and irrevocably eradicated the effects of the alleged violation. When such circumstances exist, no relief is available, and there is no need for a determination of the rights of the parties. The EEOC has also held, however, that where a complainant has made a timely request for compensatory damages, an agency must address the issue of compensatory damages before it can dismiss a complaint for mootness.

1. Dissatisfaction with the Processing of a Complaint

The complaint alleges dissatisfaction with the processing of a previously filed complaint.

2. DISMISSALS THAT GENERALLY OCCUR AFTER THE BUREAU ACCEPTS THE COMPLAINT BASED ON COMPLAINANT'S ACTIONS OR INACTIONS

a. The Complainant Cannot Be Located

EEOC regulations permit dismissal where the complainant cannot be located. The provision requires the Bureau make reasonable efforts to locate the complainant and inform the complainant they must respond to the Bureau's notice of proposed dismissal within 15 days sent to their last known address. A matter may not be "dismissed" under this section until after the complaint has been filed.

b. The Complainant Failed to Respond or Proceed in a Timely Fashion

EEOC regulations permit dismissal where the complainant has failed to respond to a written "request to provide relevant information or to otherwise proceed" within 15 days of receipt, provided the request contained notice of the proposed dismissal and further provided there is otherwise insufficient available information to adjudicate the claim. The regulation further states an agency may not dismiss on this basis where the record includes sufficient information to issue a decision. The EEOC also has held that the regulation is applicable only in cases where there is a clear record of delay or contumacious conduct by the complainant.

3. PROCESSING OF PARTIALLY DISMISSED COMPLAINTS

The EEO Officer will notify the complainant of a partial dismissal by letter and further inform the complainant there is no immediate right to appeal the partial dismissal. The EEO Officer should advise the complainant the partial dismissal will be reviewed either by an EEOC Administrative Judge, if the complainant requests a hearing before an Administrative Judge, or by the EEOC if the complainant files an appeal of a final agency action or final agency decision.

There is no immediate right to appeal a partial dismissal of a complaint. Where an agency believes that some but not all the claims in a complaint should be dismissed for the reasons contained in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a), the agency must notify the complainant in writing of its determination, set forth its rationale for that determination, and notify the complainant the allegations will not be investigated. The agency must place a copy of the notice in the investigative file.

The agency should advise the complainant that an Administrative Judge will review its dismissal determination if they request a hearing on the remainder of the complaint, but the complainant may not appeal the dismissal until a final action is taken by the agency on the remainder of the complaint.

a. Where a Hearing is Requested

If the complainant requests a hearing from an Administrative Judge, the Administrative Judge will evaluate the agency's reasons for believing a portion of the complaint met the standards for dismissal before holding the hearing. If the Administrative Judge believes all or a part of the agency's reasons are not well taken, the entire complaint or all the portions not meeting the standards for dismissal will continue in the hearing process. The parties may conduct discovery to develop the record for all portions of the complaint continuing in the hearing process. The Administrative Judge's decision on the partial dismissal will become part of the Administrative Judge's final decision on the complaint and may be appealed by either party after final action is

taken on the complaint.

b. Where a Final Decision By the Agency is Requested

Where a complainant requests a final decision by the agency without a hearing, the agency will issue a decision addressing all claims in the complaint, including its rationale for dismissing claims, if any, and its findings on the merits of the remainder of the complaint. The complainant may appeal the agency's decision, including any partial dismissals, to the EEOC.

4. ALLEGATIONS OF DISSATISFACTION REGARDING PROCESSING OF PENDING COMPLAINTS

If a complainant is dissatisfied at any time with the processing of their pending complaint, whether or not it alleges prohibited discrimination as a basis for dissatisfaction, they should be referred to the EEO Officer. Bureau EEO officials should earnestly attempt to resolve dissatisfaction with the complaints process as early and expeditiously as possible.

The EEO Officer must add a record of the complainant's concerns and any actions the Bureau took to resolve the concerns, to the complaint file maintained on the underlying complaint. If no action was taken, the complaint file must contain an explanation of the Bureau's reason(s) for not taking any action.

A complainant must always raise their concerns first with the Bureau, in the above manner. However, in cases where the complainant's concerns have not been resolved informally with the Bureau, the complainant may present those concerns to the EEOC at either of the following stages of processing:

- Where the complainant has requested a hearing, to the EEOC Administrative Judge when the complaint is under the jurisdiction of the Administrative Judge.
- Where the complainant has not requested a hearing, to the EEOC, OFO on appeal.

A complainant must raise any dissatisfaction with the processing of their complaint before the Administrative Judge issues a decision on that complaint, the agency takes final action on the complaint, or either the Administrative Judge or the agency dismiss the complaint. The complainant has the burden of showing improper processing. No concerns regarding improper processing raised after a decision will be accepted by the agency, the Administrative Judge, or the OFO. Where the Administrative Judge or OFO finds that an agency has improperly processed the original complaint and that such improper processing has had a material effect on the processing of the original complaint, the Administrative Judge or OFO may impose sanctions on the agency as deemed appropriate.

For additional information, see Chapter 5-28, MD-110 regarding ALLEGATIONS OF DISSATISFACTION REGARDING PROCESSING OF PENDING COMPLAINTS.

Chapter 5. INVESTIGATION OF COMPLAINTS

An investigation of a formal complaint of discrimination is an official review or inquiry, by persons authorized to conduct such review or inquiry, into claims raised in an EEO complaint. The investigative process is non-adversarial. This means the Investigator is obligated to collect evidence regardless of the parties' positions with respect to the items of evidence. A copy of the complaint will be provided to the Investigator prior to the commencement of the investigation.

1. ROLE OF THE EEO OFFICER IN INVESTIGATIONS

The EEO Officer will ensure:

- All new Investigators receive at least 32 hours of introductory Investigator training before conducting investigations and all Investigators receive at least eight hours of continuing Investigator training every year, as outlined in Chapter 6 of the EEOC Management Directive 110.
- Employees of the agency who do not cooperate with the EEO Investigation in accordance with the Program Statement **Standards of Employee Conduct** will be referred to the OIA for appropriate action.
- Witness testimony is given under oath or affirmation and without a promise the agency will keep the testimony or information provided confidential, and all witnesses providing affidavits are given the opportunity to review the typed version of the affidavit and make corrections as necessary, prior to swearing to the truth of the affidavit.
- Individual complaints are properly and thoroughly investigated in a timely manner in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108.
- There is no conflict or appearance of conflict of interest in the investigation of complaints. The EEO Office will request that current contracted EEO investigative firms notify the complainant if the assigned Investigator is a former Bureau employee, prior to interviews and/or interrogatories.

2. EEO INVESTIGATOR

The EEO Investigator (Investigator) is a person officially designated and authorized to conduct inquiries into claims raised in EEO complaints. The authorization includes the authority to administer oaths and to require employees to furnish testimony under oath or affirmation without a promise of confidentiality. The Investigator does not make or recommend a finding of discrimination.

3. COMPLAINANT

The complainant must cooperate in the investigation and keep the agency informed of their current address. If an agency is unable to locate the complainant, the agency may dismiss the complaint, provided that reasonable efforts have been made to locate the complainant and the complainant has not responded within 15 days of the notice of proposed dismissal.

Where the agency has provided the complainant with a written request to provide relevant information or otherwise proceed with the complaint, coupled with a 15-day notice of proposed dismissal, a failure to respond could result in dismissal of the complaint.

4. INVESTIGATION

In accordance with instructions contained in EEOC Management Directive 110, Chapter 6, pages 6-8, the agency will develop an impartial and appropriate factual record upon which to make findings on the claims raised by the written complaint. An appropriate factual record is one that allows a reasonable fact finder to draw conclusions as to whether discrimination occurred.

A complainant and their representative will be informed in writing of the issue(s) that have been accepted for processing and the assignment of an EEO Investigator. The CEO will also be informed in writing of the complaint and the name of the EEO Investigator assigned, with a request that all relevant documents and electronic transmissions be retained for the EEO Investigator. The CEO will also be advised to retain the necessary records regarding the circumstances surrounding the complaint. These records will be retained locally unless the EEO Officer directs otherwise.

In cases of an appearance of a conflict of interest, such as complaints against a local or national Executive Team member, the complaint may be assigned by the EEO Officer to a contract investigator or may be sent to the Director, EEO Staff, U.S. Department of Justice, for assignment to the EEO Officer of another agency component for processing.

The Bureau ordinarily uses contract EEO Investigators, and the investigations are conducted telephonically. There are limited circumstances in which the EEO Officer may make the determination to use written interrogatories instead.

The EEO Investigator will contact the complainant or representative to obtain a list of the recommended witnesses. This list will be made part of the investigative file.

All employees participating in the EEO process will be on official time. Refer to Chapter 11 of this Program Statement for further information.

Bargaining unit employees, including probationary employees, have the right to a Union representative during any examination by, or prior to submission of, any written report to a representative of the employer in connection with an investigation if:

- The employee reasonably believes the examination may result in disciplinary action against the employee.
- The employee requests representation.

The Union, not the employee, has the right to appoint and designate the Union representative of its choice.

Interviews will be conducted during duty hours. All employees being questioned by the EEO Investigator will be informed of the identity of the Investigator, unless already known by the employee, and the Investigator will present their credentials to the employee being interviewed and their EEO representative, if applicable, prior to the commencement of the face-to-face questioning. If a telephone interview is conducted, all parties on the line will be identified. Employees may choose to verify the Investigator's identity through the Investigator or the EEO Office.

If a telephonic interview is conducted, the EEO Investigator will schedule the date and time for the interview with the relevant parties directly. The Investigator will contact the Human Resource Manager to ensure the interviewer is on official time and secure private space, if necessary.

The EEO Investigator will advise the CEO of the required documents to be provided. Other than receiving guidance from the EEO Office, EEO Investigators will exercise independent judgment in gathering information and determining whom to interview. Investigations of complaints of discrimination are official investigations; therefore, the elements of the Program Statement **Standards of Employee Conduct** apply.

The EEO Investigator is authorized to conduct fact finding/mediation at any time while conducting the investigation if it appears during the investigation the complaint can be informally resolved. The EEO Investigator will document their attempts at settlement with the settlement authority for inclusion in the investigative file and note the name and title of the settlement authority with whom the settlement was attempted. If the complaint is resolved, the terms of the settlement will be put in writing and submitted to the EEO Officer for review prior to signing. Once approved by the EEO Officer, the settlement agreement will be signed by the complainant and the CEO or designee. This settlement is binding on the parties. Prior to signing any settlement agreement, the Section on Union Role In the EEO Process in Chapter 3 of this Program Statement must be reviewed and followed.

Investigative inquiries may be made using a variety of fact-finding models, such as the interview or the fact-finding conference, and a variety of devices, such as requests for information, position statements, exchange of letters or memoranda, interrogatories, and affidavits. If the EEO Investigator uses a recording device to conduct an interview, the employee's statement will be reduced to the form of an affidavit. The complainant will have the option to utilize a recording device to record their interview; however, the Investigator's recording will be the official recording of record. The employee will have the opportunity to review the affidavit and make corrections as necessary. The employee will not be required to sign an affidavit that is incorrect.

The inquiry/review process may also incorporate some of the features of a dispute resolution plan. The purpose of the investigation is:

- To gather facts upon which a reasonable fact finder may draw conclusions as to whether an agency subject to coverage under the statutes the EEOC enforces in the federal sector has violated a provision of any of those statutes.
- To have a sufficient factual basis from which to fashion an appropriate remedy. if a violation is found.

The investigation will include a thorough review of the circumstances under which the alleged discrimination occurred; the treatment of members of the complainant's group as compared with the treatment of other similarly situated employees, if any; and any policies and/or practices that may constitute or appear to constitute discrimination, even though they have not been expressly cited by the complainant.

The EEO Investigator prepares the investigative summary, which is a narrative document that succinctly states the issues and delineates the evidence addressing both sides of each issue in the case. The summary should state facts (supported in the complaint file) sufficient to sustain a conclusion(s). The summary should cite to evidence and the exhibits collected.

An agency that has not completed its investigation in a timely manner must inform the complainant in writing the investigation is not complete, provide an estimated date of completion, and remind the complainant they have a current right to request a hearing or file a lawsuit.

5. COMPLAINANT'S OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE INVESTIGATIVE FILE

Within the appropriate time frame for finishing an investigation under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(e), and prior to issuance of the notice required by 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(f), the EEO Investigator will provide a copy of the investigative plan to the complainant and their designated

representative. If the complainant or their designated representative are dissatisfied with the plan, they should notify the EEO Office in writing. A copy of the complainant's notification must be included in the investigative file together with a written description by the EEO Officer of the corrective action taken.

If the EEO Officer agrees with the items alleged in the complainant's notification, the Bureau must correct them immediately. If the investigation period has ended or is about to end, the EEO Officer should request agreement from the complainant to extend the investigation period pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(e). If the EEO Officer does not agree with the complainant's issues, the EEO Officer will prepare a statement explaining the rationale for the disagreement and include it in the investigative file along with the complainant's notice of dissatisfaction.

After completing the investigation with review process, the EEO Investigator will forward the entire completed investigative file to the EEO Office. The EEO Office will review the ROI to ensure factual information is documented and relevant witnesses are interviewed. A copy of the investigative file will be sent by the EEO Officer to the complainant within 180 days of the date the complaint is filed. This time period may be extended up to 90 days with the complainant's approval. Copies of the entire investigative file will be forwarded to the complainant and their representative or attorney.

Within 180 days from the filing of the complaint, or where a complaint was amended, within the earlier of 180 days after the last amendment to the complaint or 360 days after the filing of the original complaint, within the time period contained in an order from the EEOC, Office of Federal Operations, on an appeal from a dismissal, or within any period of extension provided for, the agency will provide the complainant with a copy of the investigative file. They will also notify the complainant that, within 30 days of receipt of the investigative file, the complainant has the right, pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.110, to request a hearing and decision from an Administrative Judge or may request an immediate final decision from the agency with which the complaint was filed.

Where the complainant has received the notice discussed above or at any time after 180 days have elapsed from the filing of the complaint, the complainant may request a hearing by submitting a written request for a hearing directly to the EEOC office indicated in the agency's acknowledgment letter. The complainant will send a copy of the request for a hearing to the agency EEO Office. Within 15 days of receipt of the request for a hearing, the agency will provide a copy of the complaint file to EEOC and, if not previously provided, to the complainant.

Subject to the EEOC Management Directive 110, non-relevant information should not be placed in complaint files. Where names, social security numbers, home addresses, and any other personal identifying information are not relevant, that information should be redacted before the

document containing them is included in the complaint file. Relevant information that should not be redacted includes management and/or comparative employee/applicant names. Once a document is included in the complaint file, the complainant has a right to the entire file. All parties including the agency representative, the complainant, and their counsel or representative, and the Administrative Judge should all have the same complaint file, either without redactions or containing the same redactions.

Chapter 6. RIGHT TO HEARING OR FINAL AGENCY DECISION

1. RIGHT TO HEARING

If settlement is not reached, within 30 days of completion of the investigation the EEO Officer will provide the complainant with a notice of their right to a hearing conducted by an EEOC Administrative Judge, if it is not a mixed case, or their right to request a final agency decision (FAD) by the CAO, U.S. Department of Justice, or their right to file a civil action in the appropriate U.S. District Court. An investigation is deemed completed when the report of the investigation is served on the complainant in conjunction with the notice of the right to elect either a hearing before an EEOC Administrative Judge or a final decision from the agency.

This notice will include the EEOC field office and address where a hearing request is to be sent as well as the agency office to which the copy of the hearing request should be sent. A copy of the Request for a Hearing form will also be included with the notice. Included with this notice will be a copy of the complaint file, prepared in accordance with EEOC Management Directive 110, which includes the investigative file and investigative summary. If the complainant has a representative, the representative will be entitled to a copy of the complaint file as well.

See Section 3 of this Chapter below for rights adhering to claims of sexual orientation, parental status, or gender identity discrimination pursued through the Bureau EEO process.

2. NO HEARING REQUESTED; FINAL AGENCY DECISION

If the complainant does not request a hearing within 30 days after receiving the complaint file and the issuance of the notice of rights, or if the complainant requests a final agency decision, the complaint file will be forwarded to the Complaint Adjudication Officer, U.S. Department of Justice, for final agency decision on behalf of the Attorney General.

3. PARENTAL STATUS COMPLAINTS

In complaints alleging discrimination because of parental status, after the complainant and their representative are given a copy of the complaint file, an attempt will be made to settle the complaint. The complaint file will be sent to the Complaint Adjudication Officer, U.S. Department of Justice, for final agency decision on behalf of the Attorney General. Time frames applicable to the final agency decision for appealable complaints will be followed.

4. HEARING

A hearing before the EEOC provides the parties with a fair and reasonable opportunity to explain

and supplement the record and, in appropriate instances, to examine and cross-examine witnesses. Hearings are governed by 29 C.F.R. § 1614.109. An Administrative Judge from the EEOC adjudicates claims of discrimination and issues decisions. Administrative Judge decisions, in non-class action cases, become the final action of the agency if the agency does not issue a final order within 40 days of receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.110(a).

Title 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(f) generally provides, among other things, that within 180 days from the complainant's filing of their complaint, an agency will provide the complainant with a copy of the complaint file and will notify the complainant that within 30 days of the complainant's receipt of the complaint file that the complainant has the right to request a hearing and decision from an Administrative Judge or a final agency decision from the agency. The agency's duty to send this notice and the complainant's right to receive it are not dependent on the agency's completion of the investigation.

To request a hearing, the complainant must submit the hearing request directly and in writing to the EEOC district or field office having jurisdiction, as indicated in the notice referenced above, within 30 days of receipt of the notice and the complaint file. An agency's authority to dismiss a complaint ends when a complainant requests a hearing. An agency should process dismissals expeditiously. The Request for Hearing form, EEOC Management Directive 110, Appendix, M, is recommended for a complainant's hearing request. A copy of the request for hearing must be mailed to the agency at the office indicated in the notice letter.

Upon receipt of the request for a hearing, the EEOC district or field office will send a docketing letter to the complainant and the agency, in which it will provide the parties with an EEOC Hearings Unit Number, and will request the agency forward a copy of the complaint file, including the investigative file, within the earlier of 15 days of its receipt of the complainant's request for a hearing or receipt of the docketing letter.

The EEOC will assign an Administrative Judge to conduct a hearing. Once appointed, the Administrative Judge has full responsibility for adjudication of the case. The Agency cannot dismiss a case that has been referred to the EEOC for a hearing. The Administrative Judge will determine the date and location of the hearing.

The Employment Law Branch (ELB), Office of General Counsel, will designate the attorney who will represent the Bureau at the hearing. The EEO Office will provide the ELB with the files in the complaint of discrimination.

The Bureau will bear the expenses for travel of the Bureau's witnesses, as well as those of all witnesses who are federal government employees, and the complainant, if they are a federal

government employee; and the expense of a court reporter (see Chapter 11 of this Program Statement).

The Administrative Judge has the authority to call the appropriate witnesses after reviewing the complaint file and consulting with the complainant and the agency representative. The EEOC Administrative Judge sends a Recommended Decision, along with the hearing transcript and the complaint file, to the CAO for a final agency decision.

Generally, an Administrative Judge will conduct a hearing on the merits of a complaint unless:

- The parties mutually resolve the complaint, and the hearing request is withdrawn.
- The hearing request is otherwise voluntarily withdrawn.
- The Administrative Judge dismisses the complaint.
- The Administrative Judge determines that material facts are not in genuine dispute and issues an order limiting the scope of the hearing or issues a decision without a hearing pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.109(g).

The Administrative Judge will issue a decision on a complaint and will order appropriate remedies and relief when discrimination has been found within 180 days of their receipt of the complaint file from the agency, unless the Administrative Judge makes a written determination that, in their discretion, good cause exists for extending the time for issuing a decision.

Within 15 days of its receipt of a copy of the complainant's request for a hearing sent to an EEOC district or field office or the docketing letter from the district or field office, whichever is earlier, the EEO Officer will send a copy of the complaint file, including the investigative file, to the district or field office. The agency also will send a copy of the complaint and investigative file(s) to the complainant and their representative if it has not previously done so.

The Bureau is responsible for arranging for an appropriate-sized room in which to hold the hearing and must ensure all approved witnesses who are federal employees are notified of the date and time of the hearing and the approximate time their presence will be required. The Bureau is responsible for ensuring the appearance and travel arrangements to the hearing site of approved witnesses who are federal employees.

Further information about the hearing and the role of the Administrative Judge can be found at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.109 and in the EEOC Management Directive 110.

5. FINAL ACTION BY AGENCY

The complaint file will be forwarded by the EEO Officer to the CAO for FAD, on behalf of the Attorney General, within 30 days after the complainant's receipt of the investigative file, if the

complainant does not request an EEOC hearing, or in cases alleging discrimination because of parental status or sexual orientation.

a. Final Action by the Department of Justice Following a Decision by an Administrative Judge

When an Administrative Judge has issued a decision under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.109(b), (g) or (i), the Department of Justice's CAO will take final action on the complaint by issuing a final order within 40 days of receipt of the hearing file and the Administrative Judge's decision. If, in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.110(a), the CAO does not issue a final order within 40 days of receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision, then the decision of the Administrative Judge will become the final action of the Department of Justice.

The final order will notify the complainant if the agency fully implements the decision of the Administrative Judge and will contain notice of the complainant's right to appeal to the EEOC, the right to file a civil action in Federal District Court, the name of the proper defendant in any such lawsuit, and the applicable time limits for appeals and lawsuits. If the final order does not fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge, then the Bureau will simultaneously file an appeal in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403 and append a copy of the appeal to the final order. A copy of Notice of Appeal/Petition - Complainant (EEOC Form 573) will be attached to the final order.

b. Final Action by the Department of Justice in All Other Circumstances

When the Department of Justice dismisses an entire complaint under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107, receives a request for an immediate final decision, or does not receive a reply to the notice issued under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(f), the Department of Justice will take final action by issuing a final decision. The final decision will consist of findings by the Department of Justice on the merits of each issue in the complaint, or, as appropriate, the rationale for dismissing any claims in the complaint and, when discrimination is found, appropriate remedies and relief.

The Department of Justice will issue the final decision within 60 days of receiving notification that a complainant has requested an immediate decision from the agency, or within 60 days of the end of the 30-day period for the complainant to request a hearing or an immediate final decision where the complainant has not requested either a hearing or a decision.

In accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.110(a), the final action will contain notice of the right to appeal the final action to the EEOC, the right to file a civil action in Federal District Court, the name of the proper defendant in any such lawsuit, and the applicable time limits for appeals and lawsuits. A copy of EEOC Form 573 will be attached to the final action.

When discrimination is found, the EEO Officer will take the necessary steps to assist the institution's employees to implement the remedial relief ordered. The EEO Officer is required to report to the CAO within 90 days of receipt of the final agency decision the status of any action taken to implement the remedial relief. The EEO Officer will also further advise the CAO of steps taken until full implementation of the relief is accomplished.

Chapter 7. APPEALS TO THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Titles 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.401(a) through (e) identify those entitled to file appeals to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. All such appeals must be filed with the EEOC at the following address:

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Office of Federal Operations PO Box 77960
Washington, DC 20013

As an alternative to mailing, appeals may be hand-delivered to:

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Office of Federal Operations 131 M Street, N.E.

Washington DC 20507

As a further alternative, appeals may be sent by fax to (202) 663-7022.

The appellant will furnish a copy of the appeal to the opposing party at the same time it is filed with the EEOC. In or attached to the appeal to the EEOC, the appellant must certify the date and method by which service was made on the opposing party. The individual appellant should use EEOC Form 573. The agency will attach a copy of EEOC Form 573 to all decisions, actions, and dismissals of equal employment complaints.

1. PERSONS WHO MAY APPEAL

The regulations governing appeals to the EEOC are located at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.401 and set out who may appeal to the EEOC when an issue of employment discrimination is raised either alone or in connection with a grievance, settlement, or a MSPB claim.

a. A Complainant May Appeal:

- (1) An agency's dismissal of or final action on a complaint. An agency's final action on a complaint may include either:
- A final order from the agency stating whether it will fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge.
- A final agency decision on the merits of the complaint where the complainant requested an immediate final decision pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(f).

The regulations further provide the agency must file an appeal with the EEOC at the same time it serves the final order on the complainant following receipt of a decision from an Administrative Judge where it does not intend to fully implement the decision. The agency's filing of an appeal of an Administrative Judge's decision that it does not intend to fully implement will result in the EEOC's review of the agency's decision not to fully implement the Administrative Judge's decision.

The complainant need not file a separate appeal to have the EEOC review the agency's actions. Where, however, the complainant contends the Administrative Judge erred either in any rulings made during the pendency of the action or in the decision, the complainant would need to file an appeal of the agency's final order to challenge such errors. If an agency fails to take any action during the 40-day period, the Administrative Judge's decision would be deemed ratified, and the complainant would be entitled to file an appeal of the Administrative Judge's decision as ratified after the expiration of the 40-day period. The agency would not be permitted to cross-appeal or challenge any aspect of the Administrative Judge's decision in this situation.

(2) An agency's alleged noncompliance with a settlement agreement in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504.

b. An Agency Must Appeal:

(1) If it determines not to fully implement an Administrative Judge's decision to dismiss or on the merits of a complaint, in an appeal filed simultaneously with the final order served on the complainant. If the agency issues a final order to the complainant stating it does not intend to fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge but fails to file an appeal, the agency's final order has no effect on the Administrative Judge's decision.

If the agency fails properly to issue a final order and file an appeal simultaneously with the issuance of the order, the Administrative Judge's decision will be deemed ratified by the agency upon the expiration of the agency's 40-day period for accepting or not accepting the Administrative Judge's decision.

- (2) If it determines, in a class complaint, not to fully implement an Administrative Judge's certification decision, in an appeal filed simultaneously with the final order served on the class agent. An agency's final action on a complaint may include either:
- A final order from the agency stating whether it will fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge.
- A final agency decision on the merits of the complaint where the complainant requested an immediate final decision pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(f).

The regulations further provide the agency must file an appeal with the EEOC at the same time it serves the final order on the complainant following receipt of a decision from an Administrative Judge where it does not intend to fully implement the decision. The agency's filing of an appeal of an Administrative Judge's decision that it does not intend to fully implement will result in the EEOC's review of the agency's decision not to fully implement the Administrative Judge's decision. The complainant need not file a separate appeal to have the EEOC review the agency's actions. Where, however, the complainant contends the Administrative Judge erred either in any rulings made during the pendency of the class action or in the decision, the complainant would need to file an appeal from the agency's final order to challenge such errors.

If an agency fails to take any action during the 40-day period, the Administrative Judge's decision would be deemed ratified, and the complainant would be entitled to file an appeal of the Administrative Judge's decision as ratified after the expiration of the 40-day period. The agency would not be permitted to cross-appeal or challenge any aspect of the Administrative Judge's decision in this situation.

c. An Agency May Appeal:

An Administrative Judge's decision to vacate a proposed resolution of a class complaint on the grounds it is not fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole.

d. A Class Agent May Appeal:

- (1) An Administrative Judge's decision accepting or dismissing all or part of a class complaint. Included is a dismissal of a complaint that does not meet the prerequisites of a class complaint as enumerated in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(a)(2), where the decision to dismiss informs the class agent the complaint is being filed as an individual complaint. The EEOC, OFO, Appellate Review Programs, will provide expedited consideration of class complaints are dismissed for failure to meet the prerequisites of a class complaint.
- (2) A final agency decision on the merits of the complaint.
- (3) An Administrative Judge's decision to vacate a proposed resolution of a class complaint on the grounds it is not fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole. A petition to vacate a resolution may be filed with the Administrative Judge asserting the resolution favors only the class agent or is not fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole. The petitioner may file an appeal with the EEOC if the Administrative Judge finds the resolution fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole. If the Administrative Judge finds the agreement not fair, adequate, and reasonable, the class agent, class members, and the agency may file an appeal.

(4) An agency's alleged noncompliance with a settlement agreement in accordance with 29 C.F.R. §1614.504.

e. A Class Member or Petitioner May Appeal:

- (1) An Administrative Judge's decision finding a proposed resolution fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole if the class member filed a petition to vacate the resolution; or finding the petitioner is not a member of the class and did not have standing to challenge the resolution.
- (2) An Administrative Judge's decision that a proposed resolution is not fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole. As noted above, where the Administrative Judge finds the agreement not fair, adequate, and reasonable, the class agent, class members, and the agency may file an appeal. If the Administrative Judge finds that the agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, only the petitioner may file an appeal.
- (3) An agency's final decision on a claim for individual relief under a class complaint.
- (4) An agency's alleged noncompliance with a resolution in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504.

f. A Grievant May Appeal:

- (1) A final decision of the agency.
- (2) A final decision of the arbitrator.
- (3) A final decision of the Federal Labor Relations Authority (FLRA) on the grievance.

Exception: A grievant may not appeal under 29 C.F.R. § 1614, Subpart D, when the dispute initially raised in the negotiated grievance procedure is still ongoing in that process, in arbitration, before the FLRA, appealable to the MSPB, or if 5 U.S.C. § 7121(d) is inapplicable to the involved agency.

2. TIME LIMITS FOR APPEALS TO THE EEOC-29 C.F.R. § 1614.402

Aggrieved persons must be made aware of administrative and civil action time limitations which potentially may bar an aggrieved person's ability to file appeals and civil actions. The time limits specified are stated in calendar days.

The following time limits apply for filing an appeal to the Commission:

- a. Appeals Time Limits for Complainant's Appeal of an Agency's Final Action on or Dismissal of Individual Complaints of Discrimination:
- Within 30 days of receipt of the dismissal or final action.

b. Appeals Time Limits for Decisions on Class Complaints of Discrimination Under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.402(a):

- A class agent or an agency may appeal an Administrative Judge's decision accepting or dismissing all or part of a class complaint; a class agent may appeal a final decision on a class complaint; a class member may appeal a final decision on a claim for individual relief under a class complaint and
- A class member, a class agent, or an agency may appeal a final decision on a petition pursuant to 1614.204(g)(4).

See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.401. Appeals described in subsection (c) must be filed within 30 days of receipt of the dismissal or final decision.

- c. Appeals Time Limits for Allegations of Noncompliance With a Settlement Agreement or an Administrative Judge's Decision That Has Not Been Appealed to the EEOC or Been the Subject of a Civil Action Under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504:
- (1) Within 30 days of the complainant's receipt of an agency's determination on an allegation of noncompliance.
- (2) Thirty-five days after the complainant serves the agency with an allegation of noncompliance if the agency has not issued a determination.

Notice to the Director, EEO Staff, U.S. Department of Justice, of noncompliance is a prerequisite to the filing of an appeal alleging breach of a settlement agreement.

d. Appeals Time Limits on Final Grievance Decisions in Employment Discrimination Claims Where 5 U.S.C. § 7121(d) Applies to the Agency:

Within 30 days of receipt of the final decision of an agency, an arbitrator, or the Federal Labor Relations Authority when employment discrimination was raised.

e. Time Limits on Petitions for Consideration of Final Decisions of the MSPB on Mixed Case Appeals and Mixed Case Complaints (5 C.F.R. § 1201.151 et seq. and 5 U.S.C. § 7702):

- (1) Within 30 days of receipt of the final MSPB decision.
- (2) Within 30 days after the decision of a MSPB field office becomes final.
- f. Appeals Time Limits for an Agency's Appeal if the Agency's Final Order Following a Decision by an Administrative Judge Does Not Fully Implement the Decision of the Administrative Judge:
- (1) Within 40 days of the receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision.
- (2) Under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.401(b), an agency is required to file an appeal to the EEOC if the agency's final order does not fully implement the Administrative Judge's decision. The EEOC's use of the word "may" in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.401(b) is not inconsistent with this requirement. The agency has the option to appeal if it is not satisfied with the Administrative Judge's decision.

If the agency chooses not to appeal, however, it must fully implement the Administrative Judge's decision. In other words, when the agency decides whether it will fully implement the Administrative Judge's decision, it is also deciding whether to appeal; a decision to fully implement means it is not appealing, while a decision not to fully implement means it is appealing.

g. Time Limits on Appeals to the EEOC – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504(a)

In addition to providing for appeals to the EEOC by complainants alleging breach of a settlement agreement, 29 C.F.R. § 1614.504(a) provides a complainant may file an appeal alleging agency noncompliance with a final action through which the agency has accepted the decision of an Administrative Judge. The complainant first must present their allegations of noncompliance to the:

Director, EEO Staff
U.S. Department of Justice
Justice Management Division Two Constitution Square
145 N. Street, N.E. Suite 1W.801 Washington DC 20530

Fax: 202-616-4823

The complainant thereafter may appeal:

■ Within 30 days of the complainant's receipt of an agency's determination on the allegation of noncompliance.

■ Thirty-five days after the complainant serves the agency with the allegation of noncompliance if the agency has not issued a determination.

h. Petitions to Consider MSPB Decisions

A petition to the EEOC to consider a final MSPB decision on a mixed case appeal or on the appeal of a final decision on a mixed case complaint, under 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.303-.304, must be in writing and must include:

- The name and address of the petitioner and of the petitioner's representative if any.
- A statement of the reasons why the decision of the MSPB is alleged to be incorrect, only with regard to the issues of discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability.
- A copy of the decision issued by the MSPB.
- The signature of the petitioner or their representative if any.

i. Appeal to MSPB on Mixed Case Complaint

At the time the agency issues its final decision on a mixed case complaint, the agency will advise the complainant of the right to appeal the decision to the MSPB (not the EEOC) within 30 days of receipt of the agency's final decision provided at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.302(d)(3).

Chapter 8. RIGHT TO FILE CIVIL ACTION

1. CIVIL ACTION

A complainant who has filed a non-mixed individual complaint, a class agent who has filed a class complaint, or a claimant who has filed a claim for individual relief in a class action complaint may file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court:

- Within 90 days of receipt of an agency's final action on an individual complaint, or final decision on a class complaint if no appeal has been filed.
- After 180 days from the date of filing an individual or class complaint if no appeal has been filed and no final action on an individual complaint or no final decision on a class complaint has been issued.
- Within 90 days after receipt of the Commission's final decision on appeal.
- After 180 days from the date of filing an appeal with the Commission if there has been no final decision by the Commission.

2. THE EQUAL PAY ACT - 29 C.F.R. § 1614.408

Regardless of whether the individual complainant pursued any administrative complaint processing, a complainant may file a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction within two years or, if the violation is willful, within three years of the date of the alleged violation of the Equal Pay Act. Recovery of back wages is limited to two years prior to the date of filing suit, or limited to three years if the violation is willful; liquidated damages in an amount equal to lost back wages may also be awarded. The filing of an administrative complaint does not toll the time for filing a civil action.

3. TERMINATION OF EEOC PROCESSING

Filing a timely civil action under any of these statutes terminates EEOC processing of an appeal. If a civil action is filed after an appeal has also been filed, the parties are requested to notify the EEOC of this event in writing.

4. MIXED CASE COMPLAINTS

The Civil Rights Act of 1991 did not extend the time limit for filing a civil action in mixed case complaints. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.310, which sets forth the statutory rights to file a civil action in mixed case complaints.

5. NOTICE OF COMPLAINANT'S RIGHT TO REQUEST COURT APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND STATEMENT OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

In every final action or final decision on complaints which allege discrimination on the bases of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, and/or disability, complainants unsuccessful in the administrative process, in the event they file a civil action, are informed the court has discretionary authority to appoint counsel for them. If the complainant decides to file a civil action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, as amended, or under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and if they do not have or cannot afford the services of an attorney, the complainant may request the court appoint an attorney to represent them and the court permit them to file the action without payment of fees, costs, or other security. The grant or denial of the request is within the sole discretion of the court. Filing a request for an attorney does not extend the time in which to file a civil action.

Chapter 9. PROCEDURES FOR RELATED PROCESSES

Different procedures apply to certain related processes. Specific requirements for MSPB actions, mixed case complaints and appeals, ADEA complaints, and alternative Equal Pay Act complaints are set out here. All time frames in this chapter are expressed in calendar days.

1. MIXED CASE COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.302

a. Definitions

Mixed case complaint. A complaint of employment discrimination filed, through the EEO process, with a federal agency based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or reprisal related to or stemming from an action that may be appealed to the MSPB. The complaint may contain only a claim of employment discrimination, or it may contain additional non-discrimination claims that the MSPB has jurisdiction to address.

Mixed case appeal. An appeal filed directly with the MSPB that alleges an appealable agency action was affected in whole or in part, because of discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, age, or reprisal. There is no right to a hearing before an EEOC Administrative Judge on a mixed-case complaint.

b. Procedures.

EEOC regulations provide for processing discrimination complaints on claims that are otherwise appealable to the MSPB. Two determinations must be made to decide if the mixed case regulations apply. First, the employee must have standing to file such an appeal with the MSPB. Second, the claim that forms the basis of the discrimination complaint must be appealable to the MSPB.

(1) Standing

- (a) The following employees generally have a right to appeal to the MSPB and, therefore, to initiate a mixed case complaint or appeal:
- Competitive service employees not serving a probationary or trial period under an initial appointment.
- Career appointees to the Senior Executive Service.
- Non-competitive service veterans' preference eligible employees with one or more years of current continuous service.
- Non-preference eligible excepted service employees who have completed their probationary period or with two or more years of current continuous service.

This is not an all-inclusive list of employees who have or lack standing to appeal to the MSPB and these lists may change over time. Questions concerning whether an employee may appeal an action to the MSPB should be referred to the EEO Officer or to the MSPB.

- (b) The following employees generally do not have a right to appeal to the MSPB:
- Probationary employees (see 5 C.F.R. § 315.806(b) and (c), allowing appeals alleging discrimination based on party affiliation, marital status, procedural deficiencies).
- Employees serving under a temporary appointment limited to one year or less.

(2) Appealable Actions

- (a) Denial of restoration after recovery from compensable injury of an excepted service employee.
- (b) Termination during probation (under limited circumstances).
- (c) Certain involuntary reassignments or demotions connected with conversions to Senior Executive Service.
- (d) Improper application of re-employment priority rights.
- (e) Reduction in force.
- (f) Denial of re-employment rights under various circumstances.
- (g) Denial of restoration following military duty; recovery of competitive service employees from certain injuries.
- (h) Reduction-in-grade and removal based on unacceptable performance.
- (i) Denial of within-grade increases.
- (j) Adverse suitability determinations.
- (k) Adverse actions by agencies:
- Removal.
- Suspension for more than 14 days.

- Reduction-in-grade (demotion).
- Furloughs for 30 days or less.
- (1) All adverse retirement decisions of OPM except termination of annuity payments.
- (m) Adverse actions involving Administrative Law Judges.

c. Election to Proceed is Required

- (1) The regulations provide that a covered individual may raise claims of discrimination in a mixed case either as a direct appeal to the MSPB or as a mixed-case EEO complaint with the agency, but not both.
- (2) Whatever action the individual files is first considered an election to proceed in that forum. Filing a formal EEO complaint constitutes an election to proceed in the EEO forum. Contacting an EEO Counselor or receiving EEO counseling does not constitute an election.
- (3) Where an aggrieved person files an MSPB appeal and timely seeks counseling, counseling may continue pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105, at the option of the parties. In any case, counseling must be terminated with notice of rights pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105(d), (e), or (f).

d. Procedures for Handling Dual Filing

(1) Where the Agency Does Not Dispute MSPB Jurisdiction:

- (a) If an individual files a mixed case appeal with the MSPB before filing a mixed case complaint with the agency, and the agency does not dispute MSPB jurisdiction, the agency must thereafter dismiss any complaint on the same claim, regardless of whether the claims of discrimination are raised in the appeal to the MSPB.
- (b) The agency or the EEOC Administrative Judge must advise the complainant they must bring the claims of discrimination contained in the dismissed complaint to the attention of the MSPB, pursuant to 5 C.F.R. § 1201.155.
- (c) Where an agency has not accepted a complaint for processing, i.e., has disposed of the complaint on procedural grounds, the resulting final agency decision is appealable to the EEOC.

(2) Where the Agency or the MSPB Administrative Judge Questions MSPB Jurisdiction:

The agency will hold the mixed case complaint in abeyance until the MSPB Administrative Judge rules on the jurisdictional issue, notifies the complainant it is doing so, and instructs them to bring the discrimination claim to the attention of the MSPB. During this period, all time limitations for processing or filing the complaint will be tolled. An agency decision to hold a mixed case complaint in abeyance is not appealable to the EEOC. If the MSPB Administrative Judge finds that MSPB has jurisdiction over the claim, the agency will dismiss the mixed case complaint and advise the complainant of the right to petition the EEOC to review MSPB's final decision on the discrimination issue. If the MSPB Administrative Judge finds the MSPB does not have jurisdiction over the claim, the agency will recommence processing of the mixed case complaint as a non-mixed case EEO complaint.

(3) Where a Complainant Files with the Agency First:

If an employee first files a mixed case complaint at the agency and then files a mixed case appeal with the MSPB, the agency should advise the MSPB of the prior agency filing and request the MSPB dismiss the appeal without prejudice.

(4) Where a Complainant Has Pending a Non-Mixed Case Complaint or a Series of Non-Mixed Case Complaints and the Claims Raised in Those Complaints are Inextricably Intertwined With an Appeal on a Claim That is Appealable to the MSPB:

This provision is specifically meant to address situations where a series of alleged events, connected in time or type, culminate in an appealable action against a person with standing to appeal to the MSPB. For example: minor discipline, warnings, or other claims may form the basis for a non-mixed case, but ultimately lead to suspension in excess of 14 days or termination; similarly, an allegedly discriminatory performance evaluation and subsequent placement on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) are non-mixed claims that may culminate in denial of a within-grade promotion, or even in removal, both of which are appealable to the MSPB.

The agency should file with the MSPB a motion to consolidate the non-mixed case claim with the mixed case appeal. Upon filing the motion, the non-mixed case complaints will be held in abeyance pending a decision by the MSPB Administrative Judge on the agency's motion. If the MSPB Administrative Judge should fail to consolidate the non-mixed case complaints, they will be processed pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.109. Time for processing will commence to run without notice, 15 days following the decision denying jurisdiction. The time periods are to run from the time processing ceased. This means if processing of the non-mixed claim ceased on the 70th day, the count of days will begin with day 71. If the MSPB Administrative Judge consolidates, the mixed case complaint should be dismissed.

e. Processing Where MSPB Dismisses a Mixed Case Appeal Because it Finds No

Jurisdiction (That is, the Case is Not Mixed)

- (1) If an individual files a mixed case appeal with the MSPB instead of a mixed case complaint, and the MSPB subsequently dismisses the appeal as non-jurisdictional, the agency must inform the individual they may contact an EEO Counselor within 45 days to raise the discrimination claim(s) and that the filing date of the mixed case appeal will be deemed to be the date the individual initially contacted the EEO Counselor.
- (2) If the individual filed the appeal after the agency issued an agency final decision on the mixed case complaint or after the agency failed to issue a final decision on the mixed case complaint within 120 days, the agency must provide the complainant with a 30-day notice of right to a hearing and decision from an EEOC Administrative Judge or an immediate final decision by the agency pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108(f) and thereafter proceed as in a non-mixed case.

f. Processing Mixed Case Complaints Filed at the Agency

If an employee elects to file a mixed case complaint, the agency must process the complaint in the same manner as it would any other discrimination complaint, except:

- (1) Within 45 days following completion of the investigation, the agency must issue a final decision without a hearing before an EEOC Administrative Judge.
- (2) Upon the filing of a complaint, the agency must advise the complainant if a final decision is not issued within 120 days of the date of filing the mixed case complaint, the complainant may appeal the claim to the MSPB at any time, thereafter, as specified in 5 C.F.R. § 1201.154(a) and (b) or may file a civil action as specified in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.310(g), but not both.
- (3) Also, upon the filing of a complaint, the agency must notify the complainant if they are dissatisfied with the agency's final decision on the mixed case complaint, they may appeal the claim to the MSPB (not the EEOC) within 30 days of receipt of the agency's final decision.
- (4) Upon completion of the investigation, the agency must notify the complainant a final decision will be issued within 45 days without a hearing before an EEOC Administrative Judge.
- (5) Upon issuance of the agency's final decision on a mixed case complaint, the agency must advise the complainant of the right to appeal the claim to the MSPB (not the EEOC) within 30 days of receipt of the notice and of the right to file a civil action as provided in 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.310 and 1614.310(a).
- (6) With regard to mixed case complaints, if a final decision is not issued on a mixed case

complaint within 120 days of the date of filing, the complainant may appeal to the MSPB at any time thereafter pursuant to 5 C.F.R. § 1201.154(a) and (b) or may file a civil action as provided in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.310(g), but not both. The complainant is not entitled to a hearing before the EEOC on a mixed case.

The EEO Officer will ensure all rights and responsibilities enumerated in EEOC Management Directive 110, Chapters 2, 3, and 4 are provided to every complainant in writing. This includes:

- The right to a hearing.
- The right to an appeal.
- The right to file a civil action.

2. AGE DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

It is incumbent upon federal agency personnel responsible for processing discrimination complaints to inform complainants or potential complainants of the following procedures available to them in pursuing an age discrimination complaint.

a. Election of Administrative Process

An aggrieved person may file an administrative age discrimination complaint with the agency pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614. If the aggrieved person elects to file an administrative complaint, they must exhaust administrative remedies before they may file a civil action in U.S. District Court. Exhaustion of administrative remedies occurs when the agency takes final action, or 180 days after filing the complaint if no final action is taken.

It should be noted attorney's fees are not available during the administrative process of complaints brought under the ADEA.

b. Aggrieved May Bypass Administrative Process

An aggrieved person may bypass the administrative complaint process and file a civil action directly in U.S. District Court, provided they first provide the EEOC with a written notice of intent to sue under the ADEA. The notice to the EEOC must be filed within 180 days of the date of the alleged discriminatory action. Once a timely notice of intent to sue is filed with the EEOC, the aggrieved person must wait at least 30 days before filing a civil action.

c. Responsibilities Regarding Notices of Intent to Sue

The following is a statement of the procedures and a delineation of the responsibilities on the

part of the aggrieved person, the EEOC, and the Bureau with respect to the filing and processing of notices of intent to sue under the ADEA.

(1) The Aggrieved Person

It is the aggrieved person's responsibility to provide the EEOC with a written notice of intent to sue within 180 days of the date of the alleged discriminatory action.

Notices of intent to sue must be delivered to the EEOC at the following address:

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
Office of Federal Operations
Federal Sector Programs 131 M Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20507

or mailed to:

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Office of Federal Operations Federal Sector Programs PO Box 77960 Washington, DC 20013

or faxed (if no more than 10 pages) to: 202-663-7022.

The notice of intent to sue must be dated and must contain the following information:

- Statement of intent to file a civil action under the ADEA § 15(d).
- Name, address, and telephone number of the employee or applicant.
- Name, address, and telephone number of the complainant's designated representative, if any.
- Name and location of the federal agency or installation where the alleged discriminatory action occurred.
- Date on which the alleged discriminatory action occurred.
- Statement of the nature of the alleged discriminatory action(s).
- Signature of the complainant or the complainant's representative.

(2) The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

(a) Upon receipt of a notice of intent to sue, the EEOC will promptly notify the concerned agency (and all persons named in the notice as prospective defendants in the action, if any), in writing, of its receipt of the notice of intent to sue and will provide the agency with a copy of the notice. A copy of the EEOC's notification will be provided to the aggrieved person and/or their representative, if any. Additionally, the EEOC will take any appropriate action to ensure the

elimination of any unlawful practice.

(b) Where an aggrieved person files a civil action before the agency has completed its inquiry, or before the EEOC has reviewed the agency's disposition, the EEOC will terminate the inquiry and will take no further action on the notice of intent to sue.

(3) The Agency

Upon receipt of a notice of intent to sue, an agency must review the claim(s) of age discrimination and conduct an inquiry sufficient to determine whether there is evidence unlawful age discrimination has occurred. Agencies may determine their method of review/inquiry; the method may vary depending on the scope and complexity of the claim(s). Agencies are encouraged to make good faith efforts to resolve disputes.

3. EQUAL PAY ACT COMPLAINTS

An aggrieved individual does not have to file an administrative complaint before filing a lawsuit under the Equal Pay Act (EPA). If an aggrieved individual nonetheless wants to file an administrative EEO complaint, it will be processed under 29 C.F.R. § 1614. Complainants in Equal Pay Act cases should be notified of the statute of limitations (two years or, if a willful violation is alleged, three years), which applies even if the aggrieved individual files an administrative complaint, and of the right to file directly in a court of competent jurisdiction without first providing notice to the EEOC or exhausting administrative remedies.

It should be noted attorney's fees are not available during the administrative EEO process of complaints brought under the Equal Pay Act.

4. NEGOTIATED GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES

When a person who is employed by an agency, such as the Federal Bureau of Prisons, which is subject to 5 U.S.C. § 7121(d) and is covered by a collective bargaining agreement which permits allegations of discrimination to be raised in a negotiated grievance procedure, that person wishing to file a complaint or a grievance on a matter of alleged employment discrimination must elect to raise the matter under either the EEO complaint procedures or the negotiated grievance procedure, but not both.

An election to proceed under the administrative EEO complaint procedures is indicated only by filing a formal, written complaint with the EEO Officer; use of the pre-complaint counseling process does not constitute an election to proceed under the complaint procedures. A person who files a formal EEO complaint may not thereafter file a grievance on the same matter.

An election to proceed under the negotiated grievance procedure is indicated by the filing of a timely, written grievance.

According to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.301(a), an aggrieved employee who files a grievance with an agency whose negotiated agreement permits the acceptance of grievances alleging discrimination may not thereafter file a complaint on the same matter under these complaint procedures, irrespective of whether the Bureau has informed the individual of the need to elect, or of whether the grievance has raised the issue of discrimination, or what the disposition of the grievance is.

Any such complaint filed after a grievance has been filed on the same matter will be dismissed without prejudice to the complainant's right to proceed through the negotiated grievance procedure, including the right to appeal any issue of discrimination in a final decision on the grievance to the EEOC. The dismissal of such a complaint will advise the complainant of the obligation to raise discrimination in the grievance process and of the right to appeal the final grievance decision to the EEOC.

Chapter 10. COMPLAINTS OF CLASS DISCRIMINATION

1. INTRODUCTION

Title 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204 provides for processing class complaints of discrimination. A class is defined as a group of employees, former employees, or applicants who are alleged to have been adversely affected by an agency personnel policy or practice which discriminates against the group on the basis of their common race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability.

A class complaint is a written complaint of discrimination filed on behalf of the class by the agent of the class, alleging the class is so numerous that a consolidated complaint by the members of the class is impractical, there are questions of fact common to the class, the claims of the agent of the class are typical of the claims of the class, and the agent of the class and, if represented, the representative will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

The regulatory requirements for class complaints at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204 provide a structure different from that for individual complaints. For class complaints, there is a four-stage process. The first stage is the establishment of a class complaint. At this stage, the class agent is required to seek counseling from an agency EEO Counselor. The second stage is a determination from a EEOC Administrative Judge, subject to agency final action, as to whether to certify the complaint as a class action. The third stage, assuming the complaint has been certified as a class action, involves a recommended decision from an EEO Administrative Judge on the merits of the class complaint, subject to final agency action in the form of a final decision. The fourth stage, where there has been a finding of class-based discrimination, is the determination of the claims for relief of the individual class members.

2. PRE-CERTIFICATION PROCEDURES

a. Pre-Complaint Processing

Title 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(b) provides, as with an individual complainant, an employee who seeks to represent a class of employees must seek counseling and undergo pre-complaint processing in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105, with one exception, discussed below. Title 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105(a)(1) requires an employee must seek counseling within 45 days of the discriminatory alleged event.

The agency will extend the 45-day time limit when the individual shows they were not notified of the time limits and was not aware of them, they did not know and reasonably should not have known the discriminatory practice or personnel action occurred, that despite due diligence they were prevented by circumstances beyond their control from contacting the EEO Counselor

within the time limits, or for other reasons considered sufficient by the agency or the EEOC. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105(a)(2). The time period may be waived by the agency and is subject to estoppel and equitable tolling. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604(f).

If the complaint is not resolved on the 30th day following initial EEO counseling, the EEO Counselor must give the agent written notice they have 15 days from receipt of the notice to file a formal complaint. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(c)(2).

The counseling period may be extended up to an additional 60 days if, prior to the expiration of the 30-day period, the aggrieved person agrees with the agency in writing to postpone the final interview.

The one exception to the mandatory counseling prerequisite allows a complainant to move for class certification at any reasonable point in the process when it becomes apparent there are class implications to the claim raised in an individual complaint. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(b). The term "move" in this context means the complainant must make their intention to process the complaint as a class action clear to the EEO Investigator if the complaint is still in the investigation phase of the process, to the EEOC Administrative Judge if the complaint is at the hearing phase of the process, or to the agency if the investigation has been completed and the complainant has not elected to proceed to a hearing.

A complainant may make their intention clear through a letter, a formal motion, or any means that effectively informs the agency, EEO Investigator (if the matter is within the investigation phase of the process), or EEOC Administrative Judge of the complainant's intent to pursue a class action. The Commission intends that "reasonable point in the process" be interpreted to allow a complainant to seek class certification when they know or suspects the complaint has class implications, i.e., the complaint potentially involves questions of law or fact common to a class and the complainant's claim is typical of that of the class. Undue delay will lead to dismissal of the class complaint.

If a complainant moves for class certification after completing the pre-complaint process contained in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105, no additional counseling is required. Instead, the agency or the EEOC Administrative Judge, as appropriate, must advise the complainant of their rights and responsibilities as the class agent.

b. Filing and Presentation of the Class Complaint

As with an individual complaint, a class complaint must be filed with the agency that allegedly discriminated against the putative class. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(c)(2). A class complaint must be signed by the class agent (the complainant) or a class representative and must identify the

policy or practice adversely affecting the class as well as the specific action or policy affecting the class agent. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(c)(1).

Within 30 days of an agency's receipt of a class complaint, including the agency's receipt of the class complaint during its investigation of the aggrieved person's individual complaint, an agency must designate an agency representative and forward the complaint, along with a copy of the EEO Counselor's report and any other relevant information about the complaint, to the EEOC. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)(1).

When any complaint is filed, an agency must take care to preserve all evidence with potential relevance to the class complaint. This is a continuing obligation that begins as soon as the complaint is filed, even before the class has been certified, and continues throughout the processing of the complaint.

The agency must forward the class complaint to the EEOC district office having jurisdiction of the agency facility where the complaint arose.

Should the agency's organizational component where the complaint arose not fall within one of the geographical jurisdictions shown at EEO Management Directive 110, Appendix N (Equal Employment Opportunity Offices and Geographic Jurisdictions for Federal Employee and Applicant Hearing Requests), the agency should contact the following office for guidance:

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Office of Federal Operations Federal Sector Programs Complaint Adjudication Division PO Box 77960 Washington, DC 20013

TDD: 202-663-4593

3. CERTIFICATION OR DISMISSAL – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)

The EEOC will assign an EEOC Administrative Judge (or in some limited circumstances involving national security, a complaints examiner from another agency) to issue a decision on certification of the complaint. S29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)(1).

a. Class Complaint Criteria

A class complaint will be dismissed if:

- The complaint does not meet all of the prerequisites of a class complaint under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(a)(2) (i.e., numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy of representation).
- The claims lack specificity and detail pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)(4).

- The complaint meets any of the criteria for dismissal pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a), "Dismissals of Complaints."
- The complainant unduly delayed in moving for class certification. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(b).

b. Developing the Evidence for Purpose of Certification Determination

The Administrative Judge may direct the complainant or agency to submit additional information relevant to the issue of certification. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)(1).

c. Individual Complaints Filed on Bases and Issues Identical to Class Complaints

An individual complaint that is filed before or after the class complaint is filed, and that comes within the definition of the class claim(s), will not be dismissed but will be subsumed within the class complaint. If the class complaint is dismissed at the certification stage, the individual complaint may still proceed, unless the same or another basis for dismissal applies. If the class proceeds to a hearing, the individual claim may be presented by the class representative at the liability stage of the process, or it may be presented at the remedy stage by the complainant. If the class complaint is dismissed at the certification stage, the class members may not proceed unless they have filed individual complaints in a timely manner.

The agency will, within 30 days of receipt of a decision dismissing a class complaint for failure to meet the criteria of a class complaint, issue the acknowledgment of receipt of an individual complaint as required by 29 C.F.R. § 1614.106(e), and process in accordance with Subpart A each individual complaint that was subsumed into the class complaint.

4. CERTIFICATION DECISION – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(d)(7)

a. Administrative Judge Issues Decision on Certification

The Administrative Judge will issue a decision on whether to certify or dismiss a class complaint. When appropriate, the Administrative Judge may decide to certify a class conditionally, for a reasonable period of time, until a complainant finds representation. For example, if the record on a class complaint satisfies the numerosity, typicality, and commonality requirements for class certification, the Administrative Judge may "conditionally" certify the class for a reasonable period of time so that the class agent may secure adequate representation.

Administrative Judges should refer complainants to any attorney referral systems that may be operating in EEOC district offices or other attorney referral services for assistance in obtaining adequate legal representation.

Even after a class is certified, the Administrative Judge remains free to modify the certification order or dismiss the class complaint in light of subsequent developments. The Administrative Judge has the authority, in response to a party's motion or on their own motion, to redefine a class, subdivide it, or dismiss it if the Administrative Judge determines there is no longer a basis for the complaint to proceed as a class complaint.

b. Transmittal of Decision

The Administrative Judge will transmit their decision to accept or dismiss a class complaint to the agency and the agent. The agency will take final action by issuing a final order within 40 days of receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision. The final order will notify the agent whether the agency will implement the decision of the Administrative Judge. If the final order does not fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge, the agency will simultaneously appeal the Administrative Judge's decision in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403 and append a copy of the appeal to the final order.

If the decision is to accept (certify) the class complaint, EEOC regulations require the agency to notify all class members. The agency must use all reasonable means to notify all class members of the acceptance of the complaint within 15 days of receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision or within a reasonable time frame specified by the Administrative Judge.

An Administrative Judge's decision to dismiss the class complaint at the certification stage will inform the agent the complaint is being filed on that date as an individual complaint and will be processed under 29 C.F.R. § 1614, Subpart A, that the complaint is also dismissed as an individual complaint in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a). Or, in the case of a complaint forwarded to the Administrative Judge during the agency's investigation of the complaint, that the complaint is being returned to the agency and will continue from the point the agency's investigation ended with the referral of the complaint to the Administrative Judge.

c. Right to Appeal the Administrative Judge's Decision

The Administrative Judge's decision whether to accept or dismiss the class complaint is subject to final agency action. The Administrative Judge will transmit their decision to the agency, with a copy to the complainant and the complainant's representative, if any. The agency has 40 days from receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision to take final action by issuing a final order informing the complainant as to whether the agency will fully implement the decision.

If the agency informs the complainant it does not intend to fully implement the decision, the agency must simultaneously file an appeal with the EEOC and append a copy of the appeal to the final order served on the complainant. The complainant will have 30 days from receipt of the

final order to file an appeal and the agency will provide the complainant with a copy of the EEOC Form 573.

5. **NOTIFICATION – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(e)**

a. Timing and Method of the Notice

Within 15 calendar days of the agency's receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision certifying a class complaint or such time frame specified by the Administrative Judge, the agency will use reasonable means such as hand delivery, mailing to the last known address, or distribution (such as through interoffice mail or email) to notify all class members of the certification of the class complaint.

An agency may file a motion with the Administrative Judge seeking a stay in the distribution of the notice for the purpose of determining whether it will file an appeal of the Administrative Judge's order.

The "reasonable means" used by agencies for notification should be those most likely to provide an opportunity for class members to know about the complaint. Conspicuous posting on bulletin boards to which all potential class members have easy access may constitute adequate notice in some situations.

b. Content of the Notice

The notice must contain:

- The name of the agency or organizational segment, its location, and the date of acceptance of the complaint.
- The definition of the class and a description of the issues accepted.
- An explanation of the binding nature of the decision or resolution of the complaint on class members.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the class representative.
- A copy of the Administrative Judge's decision certifying the class.

c. Individuals May Not Opt Out

The class members may not "opt out" of the defined class; however, they do not have to participate in the class or file a claim for individual relief. All class members will have the opportunity to object to any proposed settlement and to file claims for individual relief if discrimination is found.

d. Settlement Notice

All class members must receive notice of any settlement or decision on the class complaint whether or not they participated in the action.

6. **OBTAINING THE EVIDENCE -29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(f)**

a. The Process of Developing the Evidence

The Administrative Judge will advise both parties they will have at least 60 days to develop evidence. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(f)(1). They can do this in the same manner as in individual cases, i.e., through interrogatories, depositions, requests for admissions, stipulations, or production of documents. The parties may object to production on the grounds the information sought is irrelevant, overly burdensome, repetitious, or privileged. The Administrative Judge has the authority to impose sanctions on a party if that party fails to comply without good cause with rulings on requests for information, documents, or admissions. An adverse inference may be appropriate where the information is solely in the control of that party.

Similarly, if a party fails to provide an adequate explanation for the failure to respond fully and in a timely manner to a request, the Administrative Judge may impose sanctions. Adverse inferences are appropriate when the information is solely in the control of that party. These sanctions include, but are not limited to, the authority to:

- Draw an adverse inference the requested information would have reflected unfavorably on the party refusing to provide the requested information.
- Consider the issues to which the requested information pertains to be established in favor of the opposing party.
- Exclude other evidence offered by the party failing to produce the requested information.
- Recommend a decision be entered in favor of the opposing party.

b. Use of Agency Facilities by Class Agent

The class agent and their non-attorney representative should be permitted reasonable access to and use of agency facilities (copiers, telephones, computers, facsimile, private room) for preparation of the case as long as there is no undue disruption of agency operations. The class agent or non-attorney representative may not use agency resources and facilities in the preparation of the class case without obtaining the prior approval of the designated agency official.

7. **RESOLUTION – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(g)**

a. Resolution by the Parties

The complaint may be resolved by agreement of the agency and the agent at any time pursuant to the notice and approval procedure contained in 29 C.F.R. § 614.204(g)(4).

b. Notice of Proposed Resolution

If a resolution is proposed, notice must be given to all class members in the same manner as the notification of certification of the class was given. The notice must include a copy of the proposed resolution, set out the relief, if any, the agency will grant, and inform the class members the resolution will bind all members of the class. The notice must also inform class members of the right to submit objections to the settlement. The notice must further inform the parties of the name and address of the Administrative Judge assigned to the complaint.

The agency will provide the Administrative Judge with a copy of the proposed resolution and the notice sent to the parties.

c. Administrative Judge Will Review Resolution

The Administrative Judge will review and issue a decision concerning the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the proposed resolution. Within 30 days of the date of a class member's receipt of the notice of proposed resolution, the class member may file a petition with the Administrative Judge noting objections to the settlement if the petitioner (class member) believes the settlement benefits only the class agent or is otherwise not fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class as a whole.

The Administrative Judge will review the proposed resolution after the expiration of the 30-day period allowed for petitions and consider any petitions received. If the judge determines the resolution is not fair, adequate, and reasonable, they will vacate the proposed resolution and may replace the class agent with the petitioner or other class member who is eligible to serve as class agent.

An Administrative Judge's decision that a resolution is not fair, adequate, and reasonable vacates the agreement between the class agent and the agency. The decision must inform the class agent, the petitioner, class members, and the agency, of the right to appeal the decision to the Commission. The decision must include a copy of the EEOC Form 573.

An Administrative Judge's decision that a resolution is fair, adequate, and reasonable binds all members of the class. The decision must inform the petitioner of the right to appeal the decision

to the Commission. The decision must include a copy of the EEOC Form 573.

8. HEARING – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(h) and (i)

a. Hearing Procedures

Hearing procedures in class complaints are the same as those applied to hearings in individual complaints of discrimination and are set out at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.109(a) through (f).

b. Site of the Class Hearing

The Administrative Judge assigned to hear the complaint will, upon expiration of the period allowed for preparation of the class case, set a date for a hearing and determine the site of the hearing. Within their discretion, the Administrative Judge is authorized to conduct the hearing in the EEOC district office, in an EEOC area or local office, at the agency's organizational component where the complaint arose, or at such other location as they may determine appropriate.

In determining the hearing site, the Administrative Judge may consider factors such as the location of the parties; the location of EEOC district, area, and local offices; the number and location of witnesses; the location of records; travel distances for the Administrative Judge, the parties, and witnesses; travel costs; the availability of sources of transportation; and other factors as may be appropriate.

Should an agency desire a hearing be held at a location within the jurisdictional area of another EEOC district office, it must submit a request, in writing, to the EEOC office that determined the class certification issue. In its request, the agency must identify the location of the desired place of hearing and must set out, in detail, its reasons and justification for the requested change. The Administrative Judge will rule on the request only after the Directors of the concerned EEOC district offices have conferred on the matter.

c. Travel Expenses

If the Administrative Judge sets a hearing site outside the local commuting area of the agency's organizational component where the complaint arose, the agency must bear all reasonable travel and per diem expenses of complainants, their authorized representatives, agency representatives, and all witnesses approved by the Administrative Judge, except that an agency does not have the authority to pay the travel expenses of complainant's witnesses who are not federal employees.

The agency's obligation is limited to those costs which are legally payable in advance by the agency.

d. Official Time for Agency Employees

Any employee testifying at a hearing is entitled to official time for the time they spend testifying as well as a reasonable amount of time for travel to and from the hearing. The class agent and agent's representative, if employees of the agency where the complaint arose and was filed, are entitled to official time for actual time spent at the hearing and for a reasonable amount of time spent preparing for the hearing.

An agency may permit its employees to use official time in preparing and presenting a class complaint which arose in another agency.

9. ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE'S FINAL DECISION

The Administrative Judge will transmit to the agency and the class agent a decision on the complaint. If there is a finding of discrimination, the decision will include systemic relief for the class, and any individual relief, where appropriate, with regard to the personnel action or policy that gave rise to the complaint. The decision will be sent to the agency together with the entire record, including the transcript.

If the Administrative Judge finds no class relief appropriate, they shall determine if any finding of individual discrimination is warranted and, if so, shall issue a decision on the appropriate relief to be provided by the agency. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(i)(2).

10. AGENCY DECISION – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(j) and (k)

a. Action on Administrative Judge's Decision

Within 60 days of receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision, the agency must issue a final order either fully implementing or simultaneously appealing the Administrative Judge's decision. If the agency does not issue the final decision within 60 days of receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision, the Administrative Judge's decision becomes the final action of the agency. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(j)(2).

The agency must transmit its final action to the class agent within five days of the expiration of the 60-day period.

b. Required Features of the Agency Final Decision

The agency's final action on a class complaint must be in writing; notify the class agent whether

the agency will fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge; and contain a notice of right to appeal to the EEOC, the right to file a civil action, and the applicable time limits. If the final action does not fully implement the decision of the Administrative Judge, the agency shall simultaneously file an appeal in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403 and append a copy of the appeal to the final order. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(j)(1).

c. Binding Nature of Agency Final Action Implementing Administrative Judge's Decision

The final agency action implementing the Administrative Judge's decision finding discrimination will be binding on all members of the class and on the agency. A final agency action implementing the Administrative Judge's decision finding no discrimination is not binding on a class member's individual complaint. Class members may not "opt out" of the class action while it is pending.

d. Notification of Agency Final Action

The agency will notify class members and the class representative of its final action through the same media employed to give notice of the existence of the class complaint. The notice, where appropriate, will include information concerning the rights of class members to seek individual relief and of the procedures to be followed. Notice will be given by the agency within 10 days of the transmittal of its final action to the agent.

11. RELIEF FOR INDIVIDUAL CLASS MEMBERS – 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(I)

a. Claims for Individual Relief by Class Members Where Discrimination is Found

Where a finding of discrimination against a class has been made, there is a presumption of discrimination as to each member of the class. The agency has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that a class member is not entitled to relief. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(1)(3).

Within 30 days of receipt of notification of the final agency action implementing the Administrative Judge's decision, a class member who believes they are entitled to individual relief must file a written claim with the head of the agency, or with the Director, EEO Staff, U.S. Department of Justice. The claim must include a specific, detailed showing that:

- The claimant is a class member who was affected by the discriminatory policy or practice; and
- The discriminatory action occurred within the period of time for which the Administrative Judge found class-wide discrimination in their decision.

Where a finding of discrimination against a class has been made, there will be a presumption of discrimination as to each member of the class. The agency must show by clear and convincing evidence that any class member is not entitled to relief.

b. Timing of Agency Decision on Individual Claims for Relief

Within 90 calendar days of receiving an individual claim, the agency must issue a final decision on that claim. The agency's final decision must include a notice of the right to file an appeal or a civil action within the applicable time limits. The decision must include a copy of the EEOC Form 573.

c. Oversight of Individual Claims for Relief

Where an Administrative Judge finds the agency discriminated against the class, the Administrative Judge should include in their order a provision that establishes a mechanism for review of individual claims pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(1)(3). Under that Section, a class member must file a claim with the agency within 30 days of their receipt of notification from the agency of its final decision and the agency must issue a decision within 90 days of its receipt of the claim. That Section further provides Administrative Judges retain jurisdiction over the complaint in order to resolve any disputed claims of class members and may hold hearings or otherwise supplement the record on a claim filed by a class member.

To implement this Section, an Administrative Judge's order should advise the agency to inform them in writing within 60 days of the agency's receipt of a claim from a class member that it intends to dispute the class member's claim and provide a copy of such notice to the class member. Once the agency informs the Administrative Judge and the class member of its intent to dispute the class member's claim, the Administrative Judge will issue an order tolling the 90-day period within which the agency is required to issue a decision on the class member's claim.

The Administrative Judge's order will advise the agency to provide a statement in support of its decision to dispute the class member's claim and any supporting evidence within 15 days of the agency's receipt of the Administrative Judge's order, providing a copy of any such submission to the class member. The class member will have 15 days from the date of service of the agency's submission to respond to the agency's submission and may file a statement and documents in support of their claim, providing a copy of any such submission to the agency. If service of the submission was by mail, the class member may add three days to the date the response is due. The Administrative Judge has the discretion to enlarge the 15-day period at the written request of either party or on their own motion. If a party seeks an enlargement of the 15-day period, that party must provide a copy of its written request to the other party.

The Administrative Judge thereafter may determine whether they need additional information or should hold a hearing in order to further develop the record regarding the class member's claim. At the conclusion of fact finding, the Administrative Judge will issue a decision concerning the class member's claim and forward the decision to the class member and the agency. The decision will advise the agency the 90-day period for issuing a final decision on the claim will resume upon its receipt of the Administrative Judge's decision. The agency must issue a final order regarding the class member's claim within the 90-day period. If the agency does not issue the final order within the 90-day period, the Administrative Judge's decision will become the final order of the agency.

The agency's final action on a class member's claim must inform the class member of the right to appeal the decision to the EEOC, Office of Federal Operations or to file a civil action, and it must include a EEOC Form 573.

d. Limits on the Duration of a Finding of Class-Wide Discrimination

The agency or the EEOC may find class-wide discrimination and order remedial action for any policy or practice in existence within 45 days of the class agent's initial contact with the EEO Counselor. Relief may be ordered for the time the policy or practice was in effect. Under the continuing violation theory, incidents occurring earlier than 45 days before contact with the EEO Counselor must also be remedied, provided the initial contact with the EEO Counselor was timely and the earlier incidents were part of the same continuing policy or practice found to have been discriminatory. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.204(1)(3). Where contact with the EEO Counselor is timely as to one of the alleged events comprising the continuing violation, then the counseling contact is timely as to the entire violation. This 45-day time period does not limit the two-year time period for which back pay can be recovered by a class member.

e. Where Class-Wide Discrimination is Not Found

The agency will, within 60 calendar days of issuance of the final decision, acknowledge receipt of an individual complaint as required in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.106(e) and process, in accordance with the provisions of the whole of Subpart A, each individual complaint that was subsumed into the class complaint.

If it is found the class agent or any other member of the class is a victim of discrimination, the relief provisions of 29 C.F.R. § 1614.501 will apply.

12. REPRISAL

Federal employees who are agents, claimants, representatives of agents or claimants, witnesses,

or agency officials having responsibility for processing class complaints may file individual discrimination complaints if they believe they have been subjected to restraint, interference, coercion, or reprisal because of their involvement in the presentation or processing of a class complaint. EEO counseling must precede the filing of such complaints.

Chapter 11. OFFICIAL TIME IN THE EEO PROCESS AND REPRESENTATION

The procedures outlined in this Chapter relate specifically to the processing of individual complaints of discrimination. The principles reflected in these procedures, however, should also guide the processing of class complaints of discrimination.

1. DISCLOSURE OF INVESTIGATIVE MATERIAL TO WITNESSES

a. To the Complainant

The complainant must receive a copy of the complaint file and a transcript of the hearing if a hearing is held.

b. To Other Witnesses

Agencies may disclose information and documents to a witness who is a federal employee where the EEO Investigator determines the disclosure of the information or documents is necessary to obtain information from the witness; e.g., to explain the claims in a complaint or to explain a manager's articulated reason for an action in order to develop evidence bearing on that reason.

2. TRAVEL EXPENSES

a. Witness and Representative Employed by the Federal Government

Title 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605(f) requires a witness, who is a federal employee, be in an official duty status when their presence is required or authorized by agency or EEOC officials in connection with a complaint. A witness is entitled to travel expenses. If a witness is employed at an agency other than the one against which the complaint is brought and must travel to provide the attestation or testimony, the witness is entitled to reimbursement for travel expenses.

The current employing agency of a federal employee must initially authorize and pay the employee's travel expenses and is entitled to reimbursement from the responding agency, which is ultimately responsible for the cost of the employee's travel. An agency would not be responsible for paying the travel expenses of non-federal witnesses.

b. Outside Complainant or Applicant Not Employed by Federal Government

The agency is not responsible, however, for paying the travel expenses of an "outside" complainant or applicant. Although the complainant who, for purposes of their complaint, is a witness may once have been employed by the agency against whom they complain, the

termination of employment status with the federal government also terminates any federal obligation to pay travel expenses associated with prosecution of the complaint.

3. OFFICIAL TIME FOR WITNESSES AND REPRESENTATIVES

Section 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605(a) provides that complainants are entitled to a representative of their choice during pre-complaint counseling and at all stages of the complaint process. Both the complainant and the representative, if they are employees of the agency where the complaint arose and was filed, are entitled to a reasonable amount of official time to present the complaint and to respond to agency requests for information, if otherwise on duty. This applies to all phases of the EEO process.

Former employees of an agency who initiate the EEO process concerning an adverse action relating to their prior employment with the agency are employees within the meaning of 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605, and their representatives, if they are current employees of the agency, are entitled to official time.

Witnesses who are federal employees, regardless of whether they are employed by the respondent agency or some other federal agency, will be in a duty status when their presence is authorized or required by EEOC or agency officials in connection with the complaint.

If appropriate under the circumstances, this official time may be authorized to be used off the site of the facility.

4. REASONABLE AMOUNT OF OFFICIAL TIME

Reasonable is defined as whatever is appropriate, under the particular circumstances of the complaint, in order to allow a complete presentation of the relevant information associated with the complaint and to respond to agency requests for information. The actual number of hours to which the complainant and their representative are entitled will vary, depending on the nature and complexity of the complaint and considering the mission of the agency and the agency's need to have its employees available to perform their normal duties on a regular basis.

The complainant and/or representative and the requestor's supervisor should arrive at a mutual understanding as to the amount of official time to be used prior to the complainant's use of such time.

5. MEETING AND HEARING TIME

Most of the time spent by complainants and their representatives during the processing of a

typical complaint is spent in meetings and hearings with agency officials or with EEOC Administrative Judges. Whatever time is spent in such meetings and hearings is automatically deemed reasonable. Both the complainant and the representative are to be granted official time for the duration of such meetings or hearings and are in a duty status regardless of their tour of duty. If a complainant or representative has already worked a full week and must attend a hearing or meeting on an off day, that complainant or representative is entitled to official time, which may require the agency pay overtime.

6. PREPARATION TIME

Since presentation of a complaint involves preparation for meetings and hearings, as well as attendance at such meetings, conferences, and hearings, complainants and their representatives are also afforded a reasonable amount of official time, as defined above, to prepare for meetings and hearings. They are also to be afforded a reasonable amount of official time to prepare the formal complaint and any appeals that may be filed with the EEOC, even though no meetings or hearings are involved.

However, because investigations are conducted by agency or EEOC personnel, the regulation does not envision large amounts of official time for preparation purposes. Consequently, "reasonable," with respect to preparation time (as opposed to time actually spent in meetings and hearings) is generally defined in terms of hours, not in terms of days, weeks, or months. Again, what is reasonable depends on the individual circumstances of each complaint.

The EEOC does not require agencies to provide official time to employee representatives who are representing complainants in cases against other federal agencies. However, the EEOC encourages agencies to provide such official time.

7. AGGREGATE TIME SPENT ON EEO MATTERS

The EEOC considers it reasonable for agencies to expect their employees to spend most of their time doing the work for which they are employed. Therefore, an agency may restrict the overall hours of official time afforded to a representative, for both preparation purposes and for attendance at meetings and hearings, to a certain percentage of that representative's duty hours in any given month, quarter, or year. Such overall restrictions would depend on the nature of the position occupied by the representative, the relationship of that position to the mission of the agency, and the degree of hardship imposed on the mission of the agency by the representative's absence from their normal duties. The amount of official time to be afforded to an employee for representational activities will vary with the circumstances.

Moreover, 29 C.F.R. § 1614.605(c) provides that in cases where the representation of

acomplainant or agency would conflict with the official or collateral duties of the representative, the EEOC or the agency may, after giving the representative an opportunity to respond, disqualify the representative. At all times, the complainant is responsible for proceeding with the complaint, regardless of whether they have a designated representative.

The EEOC does not require agencies to provide official time to employee representatives who are representing complainants in cases against other federal agencies. However, the EEOC encourages agencies to provide such official time.

8. REQUESTING OFFICIAL TIME

The complainant, representative, and others (such as witnesses) if employed by the Bureau, must request official time. The request should be made using the BP-A1062, Request for Official Time - EEO Process form. This request will be directed to the requestor's supervisor and will be followed-up in writing as soon as practicable if the form is not used. The response will be provided promptly (utilizing the form if the form was submitted), recognizing the time requirements of the EEO process, by the supervisor. If the supervisor is the individual whom the allegations are against, the requestor may choose to make the request to the next-level supervisor. The supervisor may consult the EEO Office to determine the nature and complexity of the complaint and the stage of the EEO process involved.

9. **DENIAL OF OFFICIAL TIME**

A written explanation of all denials of requests, in whole or in part, must be provided to the requestor as soon as practicable. The written statement noting the reasons for the partial or complete denial must be included in the complaint file. Prior to denying a request for official time in whole, the requestor's supervisor should consult the EEO Office. If the BP-A1062, Request for Official Time - EEO Process form was submitted by the requestor, then the written explanation must be included on the form.

10. DUTY STATUS/TOUR OF DUTY

For purposes of EEOC regulations, duty status means the complainant's or representative's normal hours of work. It is expected the agency will, to the extent practical, schedule meetings during the complainant's normal working hours and that agency officials will provide official time for complainants and representatives to attend such meetings and hearings.

If meetings, conferences, and hearings are scheduled outside of the complainant's or the representative's normal work hours, the agency should adjust or rearrange the complainant's or representative's work schedule to coincide with such meetings or hearings or grant compensatory

time or official time to allow an approximately equivalent time off during normal hours of work.

The selection of the appropriate method for making the complainant or representative available in any individual circumstance will be within the discretion of the CEO.

Witnesses who are federal employees, regardless of their tour of duty and whether they are employed by the respondent agency or another federal agency, must be in a duty status when their presence is authorized or required by the EEOC or agency officials in connection with a complaint.

11. USE OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

The complainant's or complainant's non-attorney representative's use of government property (copiers, telephones, or facsimiles) must be authorized by the agency and must not cause undue disruption of agency operations. This will include providing a private place to meet and work on the complaint, using the equipment referenced above, if neither the complainant nor the representative, if they are an employee of the facility, has a private office.

Chapter 12. PROCESSING DISABILITY COMPLAINTS INVOLVING PROGRAMS OR ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: Inmate Discrimination Complaints Covered by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, § 504, as amended.

- (1) Any person who believes they have been subjected to discrimination covered by Rehabilitation Act of 1973, § 504, as amended, may, by him or herself or by his or her representative, file a complaint with the Director, EEO Staff U.S. Department of Justice or his or her designee pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 39. However, inmates who allege disability discrimination by the Bureau must first exhaust the Bureau's Administrative Remedy Procedure as set forth in 28 C.F.R. §§ 542.10.
- (2) All complaints filed under the procedures in this chapter must be filed within 180 days of the alleged act of discrimination, except for complaints which are filed by inmates, and those must be filed within 180 days of the Bureau's final administrative decision under the Administrative Remedy Procedure.
- (3) Complaints should be submitted to the:

Director, EEO Staff

U.S. Department of Justice Management Division Two Constitution Square

145 N. Street, N.E. Suite 1W.801 Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: 202-616-4800

Fax: 202-616-4823

- (4) The Director, EEO Staff, U. S. Department of Justice or designee will accept a complete complaint which is filed in accordance with these procedures and inform the complainant and management of receipt of the complaint. If the Director, EEO Staff, U. S. Department of Justice, or designee, receives a complaint which is not complete, he or she will notify the complainant, within 30 days of the receipt of the incomplete complaint, that additional information is needed. If the complainant fails to complete the complaint within 30 days of receipt of this notice, the complaint will be dismissed without prejudice.
- (5) Within 180 days of receipt of the complaint, the Director, EEO Staff, U. S. Department of Justice, or designee, shall complete the investigation of the complaint and attempt informal resolution. If no informal resolution is achieved, the Director, EEO Staff, U. S. Department of Justice, or designee, shall issue a letter of findings in accordance with 28 C.F.R. § 39.170(h).
- (6) Requests for hearings and appeals must follow procedures found at 28 C.F.R. § 39.170(i) through (k).

(7) The Complaint Adjudication Office. U.S. Department of Justice shall make the decision for the agency in accordance with 28 C.F.R. § 39.170(1).

Chapter 13. SELF-ASSESSMENTS

The Bureau and its employees have an ongoing obligation to prevent discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, reprisal, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, and parental status, and eliminate barriers that impede free and open competition in the workplace. As part of this ongoing obligation, the Bureau will conduct self-assessments as outlined in EEOC Management Directive 715.

To ensure the efficiency of its EEO program, the Bureau will use a complaint tracking and monitoring system that permits the agency to identify the location, status, and length of time elapsed at each stage of the agency's complaint resolution process, the issues and the bases of the complaints, the aggrieved individuals/complainants, and other information necessary to analyze complaint activity and identify trends. The Bureau will identify, monitor, and report trends reflected in complaint processing activity.

The agency will conduct a review of each finding of discrimination to determine the appropriateness of disciplinary action against the officials involved in the matter. All findings of discrimination will be sent to OIA. The agency will track these decisions, and report trends, issues, and problems to agency leadership for appropriate action, this information will also be provided to the national Union via the NOFEAR Act Report, the EEOC 462 Report (Annual EEOC Statistical Report of Discrimination Complaints – Aggregate) and the EEOC Management Directive 715.

The Bureau will benchmark its alternative dispute resolution process against EEOC regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 1614 and other federal agencies of similar size highly ranked in EEOC's Annual Report on federal sector complaints processing.

REFERENCES

Program Statements

| 1210.25 | Internal Affairs, Office of (8/1/2023) |
|---------|---|
| 1330.18 | Administrative Remedy Program (1/6/2014) |
| 1601.06 | Workers' Compensation Program (4/8/2024) |
| 3000.03 | Human Resource Management Manual (12/19/2007) |
| 3420.11 | Standards of Employee Conduct (12/6/2013) |
| 3713.25 | Bureau of Prisons Anti-Discrimination Policy (6/16/2014) |
| 3713.30 | Diversity Management and Affirmative Employment Program (3/25/2016) |
| 3713.32 | Bureau of Prisons Anti-Harassment Policy (4/22/2024) |
| 3730.05 | Workplace Violence Prevention, Staff (3/23/2004) |
| 3792.11 | Employee Assistance Program (4/4/2023) |

Other References

5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(1)

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.) Civil Rights Act of 1991, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 1981 et seq.)

Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 2000ff et seq.) Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments

Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended (29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq.) Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended

Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. § 791 et seq.) Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 and 1978

Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 Executive Order 11478, as amended Executive Order 12731, as amended Executive Order 13087, as amended Executive Order 12067, as amended Executive Order 12106, as amended Executive Order 13152, as amended

EEOC Management Directive 110EEOC Management Directive 715

Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation Act of 2002 (No Fear Act) Office of Personnel Management Handbook: Addressing Sexual Orientation Discrimination in the Federal Government

Title 5 C.F.R. § 720; and Part 1201, Subpart D Title 28 C.F.R. § 39

Title 28 C.F.R. § 42, Subpart A Title 29 C.F.R. § 33

Title 29 C.F.R. §§ 1604, 1605, 1606, 1614, 1625, and 1626

Master Agreement between the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the Council of Prison Locals Department of Justice Policy Statement Reasonable Accommodation

Other Policies

Elijah E. Cummings Federal Employee Anti-Discrimination Act of 2020

Bureau Forms

| BP-A1059 | Notice of Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Timelines |
|----------|--|
| BP-A1060 | Notice of Rights and Responsibilities – Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) |
| | Process |
| BP-A1061 | Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Election |
| BP-A1062 | Request for Official Time – Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Process |

Other Forms

EEOC Form 573

ACA Standards

American Correctional Association (ACA): Standards for the Administration of Correctional Agencies, 2nd Edition: 1C-09, 1C-12.

American Correctional Association (ACA): Standards for Adult Local Detention Facilities, 4th Edition: 4-ALDF-7E-04, 4-ALDF-7E-05

American Correctional Association (ACA): Standards for Adult Correctional Institutions, 5th Edition: 5-ACI-1C-06, 5-ACI-1C-07, 5-ACI-1C-08, 5-ACI-1C-09, 5-ACI-1C-10

Records Retention Requirements

Requirements and retention guidance for records and information applicable to this program are available in the Records and Information Disposition Schedule (RIDS) system on the Bureau's intranet site.

Attachment A. Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Counselors Checklist

| 1. Fi | rst Contact: |
|--------------|--|
| | Provide employee with a Request for EEO Counseling Form. |
| | _Set up a date for the Initial Interview. |
| 2. In | itial Meeting: |
| | _Explain the role of the EEO Counselor. |
| | _Explain Rights and Responsibilities. |
| | _Have complainant initial and sign the BP-A1060, Notice of Rights and Responsibilities - EEO Process form. |
| | _Have complainant sign any other relevant forms. |
| Grieva | Review Request for EEO Counseling form with the employee, explain about any ely issues, issues that are not EEO issues, or previous filings through the Negotiated ance Procedure or the Merit Systems Protection Board. Determine the basis(es) the yee is alleging. Determine the employee's issues. |
| | What occurred? |
| | When did it happen? |
| | Where did it take place? |
| | Why does the aggrieved individual believe it happened? |
| | Are there any witnesses or anyone who may have firsthand knowledge of the situation or incident? |
| | Who is the management official responsible for the incident or who can remedy the situation? |

| | Are there any related documents available? |
|---|---|
| | What remedy does the aggrieved individual seek? |
| | How do they want to resolve the situation? |
| | Develop a Counseling Plan: |
| | Contact the EEO Office/EEO Specialist. |
| | Draw up the witness list; other persons to interview. |
| | Determine what documents need to be reviewed (directly related to the aggrieved and incident). |
| | Other documents related to the aggrieved individual and the incident(s). |
| | Interview Responsible Management Official (RMO) or other employees involved: |
| | Present the matters complained about. |
| | Present RMO information found in fact finding. |
| | Inform RMO what the aggrieved individual seeks as relief. |
| | Determine what RMO will give to informally resolve. |
| - | Suggest informal resolutions that may be acceptable to both sides. |
| | Conclude counseling with final interview and issue Notice of Right to File and Complaint form. |
| | Prepare Counselor's Report and submit within 15 days of issuing the Notice of Right to File and Complaint form. |

Attachment B. Mediation (Frequently Asked Questions)

1. What is Mediation?

Mediation is a form of Alternative Dispute Resolution in which a third party neutral, a mediator, meets with the parties (complaining employee and management representative(s)) to assist them in resolving their dispute. Mediation is an informal, voluntary process that is not binding unless agreement is reached by both parties. Mediation is not a legal process based on documentation; it is based on open communication.

2. What is the role of the Mediator?

The mediator has no authority or power to render decisions. They are merely a facilitator who is skilled at working with the parties to resolve their dispute. The mediator is not an arbitrator or judge. The parties dictate the outcome of the mediation, not the mediator. The mediator will draft any agreements reached by the parties.

3. Who will be the Mediator?

The mediator will be an individual trained and experienced in mediation skills. The individual may be employed by a private contractor, the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, or another federal agency. Bureau employees will only be used upon permission of the employee. These employees will not be from the same institution as the complainant.

4. Who will pay for the Mediator?

The Bureau of Prisons will pay for any costs associated with hiring a mediator.

5. What is the format of a Mediation session?

Typically, the mediator meets with both parties first together in what is called a joint session. At the joint session, the mediator will initially ask the employee to briefly describe the facts leading up to the dispute. Then the mediator will ask the management representative the same question. The mediator may also ask each party what they are seeking to accomplish in the mediation (i.e., what will it take to resolve the dispute). At the conclusion of the joint session, the mediator will typically meet with each party separately in what is called a private session. These sessions allow the mediator to ask more direct questions of the parties without putting the person on the spot. At the conclusion of the separate sessions, it is up to the mediator whether they will convene additional separate sessions or whether they will bring the parties back together for another joint session.

6. Are the Mediation sessions confidential?

Yes. At the beginning of the mediation, all parties in the room will be required to sign an Agreement to Mediate, which states that everything discussed in the room is confidential. At the conclusion of each separate session, the mediator will also ask each party what they do not want them to share with the other party. The mediator is bound by these requests of confidentiality. The Agreement to Mediate also states that neither party can subpoena or depose the mediator in the event of future litigation.

7. Are representatives permitted to attend the Mediation? Will legal employees be present?

The employee is permitted to bring whomever they like to the mediation. They may bring a friend, a relative, a Union representative, or a legal representative. Typically, management will not be represented by a legal employee unless the employee will be represented. If the employee plans to bring a legal representative, they must inform the EEO Officer at least five days prior to the mediation.

8. Who will represent management at the Mediation?

Typically, the manager involved in the dispute will attend the mediation. In addition, one additional manager, not directly involved in the dispute, will attend. Names of these individuals will be provided to the employee prior to the session.

9. What preparation should the parties do prior to attending the Mediation session?

The parties should come fully prepared to discuss the facts that led up to the dispute. Documentation is not necessary and often interferes with the process. Parties will have the opportunity during breaks to obtain whatever documentation they feel that the other side should read. The parties should also be able to discuss what they believe will resolve the issue. Typically, the mediator will have no knowledge of the facts prior to the mediation.

10. What is the duration of an average Mediation session? When and where are these sessions conducted?

A typical mediation session lasts from four to six hours. The mediation will be conducted in a secure location. The mediator will contact all parties prior to the session to coordinate a time convenient to all parties. Mediations will be conducted during normal business hours.

11. Who will determine if Mediation is appropriate to resolve my dispute? How soon will the Mediation session be conducted?

If you elect Mediation on the Mediation Election Form, the EEO Officer will determine whether your issue is appropriate for mediation. Mediation will not be appropriate if there is a criminal investigation ongoing, if the disputes involve an issue the Bureau has no authority to decide (such as retirement questions, which are up to the Office of Personnel Management), if the issue is part of a class action matter, if the employee has abused the mediation process, or for any other reason that the EEO Officer determines. If mediation is appropriate, the goal of the program is to conduct the mediation within three weeks of when the employee elects mediation. This will depend, however, on the schedules of the parties.

12. What happens to the EEO process if the employee elects Mediation? What if the session does not resolve the dispute?

If mediation is elected in the informal complaint stage of the EEO process, attempts through traditional counseling will be terminated. The pre-complaint processing period will be automatically extended from 30 days to 90 days. A mediator will contact both parties to schedule the mediation session. If the mediation is unsuccessful in resolving the dispute, the EEO Counselor will write the counseling report. That report will describe the initial counseling session, frame the issues, and report only that ADR was unsuccessful.

If mediation is elected in the formal complaint stage of the EEO process, the EEO Investigation will be suspended until conclusion of the mediation session.

Mediation will not affect the employee's EEO rights and they have the ability to continue with the EEO process. If the mediation is successful, however, the EEO process will be concluded.